## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 356 of 2017 (M.A. No. 666 of 2017)

Naresh Kumar Jindal & Ors. Vs. Union of India & Ors.

## CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE U.D.SALVI, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE PROF. (DR.) P.C. MISHRA, EXPERT MEMBER

Present: Applicant:

Applicant:Mr. Sanjay Upadhyay and Ms. Upama<br/>Bhattacharjee Advs.Respondents No.2 to 7<br/>Respondent No. 8Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv.<br/>Mr. Pinaki Misra, Sr. adv.alongwith Mr. Sudhir<br/>Mishra, Adv. and Ms. Rithika Nanda, Adv.<br/>Mr. Krishna Kumar Singh, Adv. for MoEF &CC.

Date and Remarks	Orders of the Tribunal
Item No. 07	In the present application seeking direction to halt
June 16, 2017	the construction activities going on without obtaining
	prior environmental clearance of area development of
1.1	Residential Plotted Colony of 281.577 acres namely
2	" <mark>Vatika In</mark> dia Nort" at Sector 81, 82, 82A, 83 & 85,
A	Gurgoan, Haryana, the notice was issued to the
9	respondents both as regard the main matter and M.A. no.
	666/2017 for grant of interim relief, on 29.05.2017.
111	Notice was made returnable on 04.07.2017. However, on
	14.06.2017, the applicant moved the vacation bench
	saying that the notice of the application was duly served to
	the project proponent Respondent No. 8 Vatika Ltd.
	particularly as regards moving the vacation Bench, and it
	was/is necessary to grant interim reliefs urgently. The
	case thus came to be posted before us today vide order
	dated 14.06.2017.
	Today, Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of
	Respondent No. 8 Vatika Ltd. seeks time to file reply to the
	application as well as the application for grant of interim

relief. He makes a statement that Environmental Clearance of area development of Residential Plotted Colony of 281.577 acres on the land referred to in the application has been granted as per the Environmental Clearance letter dated 04.09.2017, wherein the extent to which the Environmental clearance extends has been duly described in para 2 therein, and the project proponent M/s Vatika Ltd. shall not carry out any construction or development beyond what is permitted by the said environmental clearance.

Item No. 07

June 16,

2017

Learned Counsel appearing for the applicant expresses apprehension regarding construction /development being carried out by the respondent no.8 beyond the pale of environmental clearance granted in the present case.

Before we enter into the merits of the controversy, it is necessary that the replies of the concerned respondents are placed on record. Moreover, in our view, the apprehension regarding the construction/development of the area being carried out beyond the area permitted by the Environmental Clearance dated 04.09.2013 stands substantially nullified with the statement made before us on behalf of the Project Proponent.

List this case on 04.07.2017 for filing the reply.

....,JM (U.D. Salvi)

....,EM (Prof. (Dr.) P.C. Mishra)