Time to act on endosulfan

The future of one of the world's most notorious pesticides is up for debate this autumn with scientific experts and farmworker advocates calling for a global ban. **Dr Meriel Watts** of PAN Aotearoa New Zealand reviews the situation and presents the evidence for a ban.

The organochlorine insecticide endosulfan is currently taking centre stage, alongside its cousin DDT, in global efforts to eliminate some of the worst pesticides. But whilst the use of DDT is now largely confined to vector control in Africa, endosulfan is still used on many crops in many countries – particularly cotton, soy, rice, tea, coffee and vegetables.

Such is the level of concern about this antiquated, highly toxic and persistent chemical, that it is banned in 55 countries (including all EU countries) and is being considered for global regulation under two different processes. Both these processes are strongly contested by endosulfan's manufacturers, especially those in India, and so-called scientific procedures have become highly charged politically, and their integrity endangered. This article outlines the regulatory concerns about endosulfan, the mounting evidence of the human and environmental impacts, and the scientific case for a global ban.

Increasing regulatory action

The PIC process

The countries which have signed up to the Rotterdam Convention have committed to cooperating and sharing responsibility in the international trade in hazardous chemicals. They have also committed to a legally binding Prior Informed Consent (PIC) procedure, which ensures that countries wishing to import a hazardous chemical listed under the Convention are pre-informed by the exporting country of any regulatory bans, and of the reasons for them. The importing country must give 'prior informed consent'.

The Chemical Review Committee of the Convention consists of technical experts from the signatory countries. It meets annually to consider evidence and make recommendations to the Conference of the Parties (CoP) on which chemicals should be included within the procedure. The CoP consists of representatives from the signatory governments who make the final decisions. However, the procedure for getting a chemical listed under PIC can be lengthy and politically charged.

In March 2007, the Chemical Review Committee of PIC agreed to recommend to the CoP that endosulfan be included in Annex III, the list of chemicals that have been banned or severely restricted. This recommendation was made on the basis of the notification of a ban by the Netherlands and severe restriction by Thailand¹.

The recommendation will be considered by the CoP in October of this year. However, the decision on endosulfan's final inclusion in Annex III is likely to be vigorously contested by India and, as decision-making is by consensus, such opposition threatens to block the whole PIC process.

The POPs process

The Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) aims to protect human health and the environment by globally banning the production and use of persistent, bioaccumulative chemicals. Pesticides already listed under this convention include aldrin, endrin, dieldrin, chlordane, heptachlor, hexachlorobenzene, mirex, toxaphene, and DDT. It is likely that two other pesticides, chlordecone and lindane, will join these nine POP pesticides (as will a few industrial chemicals) after the POPs CoP4 (the fourth Conference of the Parties to the Stockholm Convention) in Geneva next year.

The European Union has nominated endosulfan to join them, but before it can do so the chemical must go through a lengthy process of assessment by the POPs Review Committee (POPRC). This process should finally start in October. However, as with PIC, endosulfan's inclusion is being strongly contested. India, China and Sierra Leone even

Endosulfan time bomb – Philippines ferry disaster

International action on endosulfan is taking place against the backdrop of what could prove to be a disaster of global proportions. In June a passenger ferry, Princess of the Stars, tragically sank off Sibuyan Island in the Philippines during a typhoon, with the loss of many lives. It was discovered that the ferry was also carrying 10 tonnes of endosulfan (along with other pesticides) destined for the Del Monte and Dole pineapple plantations.

Endosulfan was believed to have been banned in the Philippines, but apparently corporations were given an exemption until December of this year: it appears they were stocking up on the chemical before the exemption expired. One provincial government promptly banned the transport or use of endosulfan in its province, Bukidnon.

The endosulfan still lies at the bottom of the sea, awaiting salvage. Professor Romy Quijano of the Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology of the University of the Philippines described the situation as a 'time bomb'. There is no sign that any endosulfan has leaked yet, but if it does there would be 'massive global environmental pollution' according to Professor Quijano. tried to block its entry into the process for consideration in November last year at POPRC3. In the event endosulfan's entry was delayed one year for other reasons.

Action in the US

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has been reviewing endosulfan for a number of years, but events are now unfolding rapidly. In November 2007 they released calculations showing that endosulfan cannot be used safely in the vast majority of scenarios for which it is currently approved, and that even with the best available technology and personal protective equipment, farmers applying endosulfan are exposed to unacceptably high levels. In May of this year more than 55 international scientists, health professionals and advocates signed a letter to the EPA asking for a ban. Then in July, a coalition of farmworkers, and health and environmental groups, including PAN North America, filed a lawsuit against the EPA to stop the continued use of endosulfan.

Outcry in New Zealand

On the other side of the world, New Zealand's Environmental Risk Management Authority (ERMANZ), which is part way through a reassessment of endosulfan, is proposing that all uses should continue, including boom spraying of sports fields, cricket pitches, bowling greens and airport grass strips, to control earthworms – a use that appears peculiar to New Zealand.

ERMANZ's proposal caused an uproar, with two political parties (the Greens and the Maori Party) and a number of advocates and organisations, calling on the government to override it. The government has refused and public hearings on submissions are due to start in October.

Repeated sampling by PAN Aotearoa New Zealand and the Soil and Health Association consistently finds residues of endosulfan in tomatoes. Korea has for the second time rejected New Zealand beef because of endosulfan residues. Despite extensive investigations it is still not known how the beef became contaminated.

Exposure and impact

So why the concern about endosulfan? Quite simply it has all the worst characteristics of a pesticide rolled into one. It is highly toxic, persistent in the environment, and bioaccumulative in the food chain, including in humans, since we are at the top of both terrestrial and aquatic food chains. It is a broad spectrum pesticide, meaning that it is toxic to all insects, as well as to mammals, fish, birds, microorganisms, and it even causes damage to plants. Use of endosulfan has killed many hundreds of people, and condemned many thousands of others to chronic suffering.

Exposure to endosulfan

Exposure to endosulfan is widespread globally. Even where personal protective clothing is worn it is inadequate to protect against endo-

Global regulation

sulfan. Residues are commonly found in the body tissues of exposed agricultural workers.

Non-occupational exposure is also very common. In France endosulfan was found in the air inside 79% of homes in the Paris area, in some of them at levels higher than those found in greenhouses. At this stage (2006), endosulfan was still permitted for use in France on some fruit and vegetables, and its presence in homes appeared to result from drift and contaminated plant matter. It was also found on the hands of 20% of the general population sampled in Paris².

Residues in food are the greatest source of exposure. They occur commonly in fruit, vegetables, fish and other seafood, milk and dairy products, meat, seeds, nuts, spices, honey, olive oil, and even wine corks. As a result of this continual dietary exposure, endosulfan residues are commonly found in human placental tissue, umbilical cord blood and breast milk, and endosulfan is transferred to the foetus and newly-born infant³⁻¹¹. Residues of endosulfan in breast milk in Bhopal, India in 2003 were 8.6 times the average daily intake levels recommended by the World Health Organisation¹². The unborn foetus is exposed to this endocrine disrupting chemical at a time of exquisite vulnerability, and then re-exposed after birth through breast milk, with the potential for adverse effects on intellectual and physical development, and the onset of chronic diseases such as cancer later in life.

Human Poisonings

Poisonings have been reported in Benin, Colombia, Costa Rica, Cuba, Guatemala, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, New Zealand, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Sudan, Turkey and USA. Endosulfan is regarded as one of the main causes of poisoning in many countries¹³ including in Asia¹⁴, Latin America¹⁵ and West Africa¹⁶.

Endosulfan was used extensively for selfpoisoning in Sri Lanka. Restrictions placed on it in 1998, together with restrictions placed on WHO Class 1 pesticides in 1995, resulted in 19,769 fewer suicides in 1996-2005 than in 1986-1995¹⁷.

Some of the worst occupational poisonings have occurred in West Africa's cotton fields. Endosulfan had been removed from cotton growing there because of its high toxicity, but was re-introduced in 1998-1999 in Mali and Benin, and in 1999-2000 in Senegal, Cameroon and Burkina Faso, to control Helicoverpa, the cotton bollworm, which had become resistant to pyrethroids. Poisonings soon became apparent, particularly in Benin, where at least 37 people are known to have died from endosulfan during the 1999-2000 season, with an estimated total death toll of 70, and at least 90 people suffering illness¹⁸. The figures are considered a significant underestimation due to inadequate reporting. Food contamination also became a problem and was thought to be a major factor in Benin's 400 endosulfan poisonings over the period 2000-2003, of which 347 were fatal, and which accounted for 69% of all pesticide poisonings¹⁹.

Involuntary 'bystander' exposure in Kerala, India, caused a high level of appalling chronic effects, in what is one of the very few examples where health impacts are so clearly linked to pesticides. The village of Kasargod experienced sustained exposure to endosulfan as a result of 20 years of aerial spraying of a nearby cashew nut plantation. Endosulfan was the only pesticide used. Twelve streams used by the villagers originated in the plantation and were subsequently found to have contaminated sediment and water throughout the year (that is outside the spray season), as a result of endosulfan's persistence in soil, and the soil being carried to the streams by storm runoff. Residues were still detected in the water and pond sediments 18 months after spraying ceased²⁰. One sample contained endosulfan at 391 times the maximum permissible level²¹. The villagers were also directly exposed to spray drift and overspraying. Numerous congenital, reproductive, long-term neurological and other symptoms were experienced (see box). 197 cases were documented from just 123 households. Chronic morbidity was 70% higher than normal. There were observations of similar effects in animals too: cows giving birth to deformed calves, cows and chickens dying inexplicably, domestic animals with miscarriages, bleeding, infertility, stunting of growth and deformities, as well as fish kills and dwindling populations of honeybees, frogs, and birds^{22,23,24}. Endosulfan has subsequently been banned in the State of Kerala and compensation paid to some of the victims and/or their families by the State²⁵. The families of at least 135 victims who have died have received compensation. Yet India remains endosulfan's biggest proponent.

The scientific case on health

The acute and chronic effects observed in people are supported by data from laboratory studies on animals and on human, animal and plant cells.

Acute effects

Absorption through the gastrointestinal tract is very rapid and efficient, with 90% absorbed in rats. Absorption through the skin is as high as 50% in rats²⁶. The primary acute effect is on the central nervous system, as a result of endosulfan binding to GABA receptors in the brain²⁷, causing hyperexcitation and convulsions, and nervous system mediated effects on respiration and the heart. Death results from low levels of exposure. Female rats are 4-5 times more sensitive to the lethal effects of endosulfan than male rats, and a protein deficient diet caused a 20-fold increase in toxicity²⁸.

Systemic effects

The primary systemic targets are the liver and kidney, but endosulfan also causes haematological and respiratory effects. Observed effects include damage to the membrane of red blood cells at very low doses (1 μ g/kg) that are 500-fold lower than the generally permissible level for residues in food, which is

Health effects in Kerala

• congenital deformities of hands, feet and heart especially in females;

• other congenital deformities including child born with bladder outside body;

• endometriosis, early menarche, frequent menstrual disorders, male breast enlargement;

- delayed male sexual development (reduced pubic hair, testes and penis)
- reduced testosterone

• male sexual congenital deformities – undescended testicles, congenital hydrocele (swelling in scrotum)

• liver cancer, haematological cancers, brain tumours (neuroblastoma);

 congenital mental retardation, cerebral palsy, delayed mental and psychomotor development, learning disabilities, low IQ;
 psychiatric disturbances including suicide, epilepsy;

- frequent illness, skin diseases;
- ear, nose and throat problems;
- vision impairment and blindness.

0.5 mg/kg, and calcification of heart and arteries, aneurisms, cardiotoxicity through oedema and swelling of myocardial cells, and heart and circulatory failure^{29,30,31}. Endosulfan is also toxic to both the adrenal gland and the pancreas³², resulting in complex effects on blood glucose levels (both reducing and raising it). Endosulfan also causes oxidative stress³³, which is implicated in its neurotoxic effects^{34,35}, damage to the adrenal gland^{36,37}, and cancer³⁸.

Immune effects

Endosulfan is toxic to, and suppresses, the immune system, as well as promoting allergic responses. It induces the death of human Natural Killer T-cells, which are part of the immune system involved in tumour suppression³⁹, so endosulfan encourages the development of tumours. In the US the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) concluded that humans may be at risk of adverse immune effects following exposure to endosulfan⁴⁰.

Endocrine disruption

Endosulfan is an endocrine disruptor in mammals, fish, birds and amphibians, affecting both male and female reproductive hormones. It chronically depresses testosterone levels in rats⁴¹.

Studies on human cells indicate that endosulfan is oestrogenic at very low levels, interfering with levels of oestrogen receptors⁴², and causing proliferation of human breast cancer cells^{43,44,45}, and oestrogen-sensitive ovarian cells⁴⁶. It is also anti-androgenic^{47,48,49}, induces the activation and proliferation of progesterone receptors in human breast cancer cells^{50,51}, and it decreases the activity of progesterone^{52,53}. Endosulfan is strongly implicated in increasing the risk of breast cancer⁵⁴, and may contribute to other oestrogen-dependent disorders such as cervical cancer and endometriosis^{55,56}.

Cancer

Evidence of the carcinogenicity of endosulfan is regarded as being inconclusive, and it has not been classified by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as a carcinogen, leading some regulators to erroneously translate this uncertainty to mean that endosulfan does not cause cancer⁵⁷.

Some studies have found an increase in the total number of malignant tumours and pulmonary adenomas, and increases in the total number of carcinomas, hepatic carcinomas, and sarcomas in female rats, and lymphosarcomas in male rats⁵⁸.

Additionally, a number of studies have found endosulfan to have caused mutagenic and genotoxic effects in human lymphocytes and liver hepatoblastoma cells; in rat and mouse spermatogonial cells; in rat, mouse and hamster bone marrow; in rat foetal liver cells; in fruitfly; in fish gill, kidney and erythrocyte cells; in tadpoles; in oysters; in Chinese hamster ovarian cells; in bacterial systems (*Salmonella, E. coli and Saccharomyces*); in microalgae; and in the root tip cells of the wetland macrophyte *Bidens laevis* L⁵⁹⁻⁷².

There is also evidence that endosulfan is a tumour promoter causing a significant and dose-related increase in cancerous liver cells^{73,74}, possibly through its rapid inhibition of gap junctional intercellular communication (GJIC) in liver cells^{75,76,77}. It inhibits apoptosis (programmed cell death), which could contribute to mutant cell survival, and therefore cancer, and it is increasingly being described as a potential carcinogen in humans⁷⁸.

Reproductive/developmental toxicity

Endosulfan has caused a number of adverse effects on male reproductive parameters in rats, with the effects being greater if exposure occurred during the developmental phase⁷⁹. Endosulfan has also been found to reduce implantation in female mice, and to increase oestrus⁸⁰. A study with human sperm *in vitro* showed that low concentrations of endosulfan (0.1nM) strongly inhibited the ability of sperm to fertilise ova⁸¹.

Exposure *in utero* has caused embryotoxic effects including increased resorptions and skeletal variations, decreased birth weight and length, and increased aggressive behaviour in newborn rats⁸². More recently, a study reported accumulation of cerebrospinal fluid in the brain, underdeveloped cerebrum, incomplete ossification of skull bones, and malformations of the liver, kidneys, ribs and renal pelvis⁸³.

Neurological effects

Endosulfan targets the prefrontal cortex of the brain, which is involved in cognitive tasks, selective attention, short-term working memory, response inhibition, behavioural flexibility, sexual and maternal behaviour, and depression⁸⁴. There is evidence that it can cause behavioural effects such as aggression and increased time to learn tasks, even after exposure has ceased, as well as impaired learning and memory processes, extreme sensitivity to

noise and light, and muscle spasms⁸⁵.

There is emerging evidence that exposure to endosulfan may increase the risk of Parkinson's disease. It alters the brain levels of the neurotransmitters dopamine, noradrenalin and serotonin⁸⁶. Mice exposed to endosulfan from postnatal days 5 to 19 exhibited only insignificant changes in dopamine, acetylcholinesterase and alpha-synuclein levels; however when re-exposed as adults, they showed significantly depleted dopamine, and increased levels of alpha-synuclein⁸⁷. The loss of dopamine and the accumulation of alphasynuclein are both associated with Parkinson's disease.

The scientific case on the environment

Ecotoxicity

Endosulfan is extremely toxic to fish, especially juveniles. It is also very toxic to amphibia and reptiles, shrimps and prawns, aquatic snails and plants, coral reef organand other invertebrates^{88,89,90}. isms. Concentrations of endosulfan found in many rivers, particularly after rainfall events carrying runoff into rivers, greatly exceed levels known to cause toxicity, and its use results in the disruption of the aquatic food chain⁹¹, as well as in massive fish kills in the USA^{92,93}, Sudan and Senegal⁹⁴. Endosulfan has been implicated in the decline of frog populations in California, possibly by depressing acetylcholinesterase activity in tadpoles95. Many incidents of wildlife deaths have been reported, especially in Africa^{96,97}. Congenital deformities, miscarriages, infertility, stunting of growth, and dwindling populations have also been reported98-104

Endosulfan is highly toxic to birds, bees, earthworms and a wide range of beneficial insects that are vital to IPM programmes¹⁰⁵⁻¹¹¹. It significantly reduces the development of the 'entomopathogenic' fungus, *Verticillium lecanii* (Zimm.) — a fungus used in some IPM programmes to kill insect pests such as aphids, thrips and whitefly¹¹².

Endosulfan is toxic to beneficial soil micro-organisms such as actinomycetes¹¹³. These are bacteria that play a vital role in replenishing the supply of nutrients in the soil through decomposition of organic matter and the formation of humus. They also help non-leguminous plants to fix nitrogen. Endosulfan is toxic to mites and springtails, tiny insects that are key to maintaining soil fertility and to mixing the organic and mineral components of soil¹¹⁴.

Environmental Fate

Endosulfan is semi-volatile. It evaporates from the surface of soil and plants after application. Field studies in Australia have found 70% of endosulfan is lost from cotton fields through volatilisation^{115,116}. The atmospheric half-life of endosulfan varies with temperature, and has been measured as ranging from 12 hours to 38 years, the latter in the Canadian Arctic^{117,118,119}.

Following evaporation, endosulfan can

undergo long-range atmospheric transport, depositing out of the air in the cold regions of the world. It has been consistently measured in air all over the world, including in remote locations in the Arctic, high mountain areas in Asia (Himalayas), Europe, and North America, as well as in tropical mountains in Costa Rica, at levels higher than most other POPs¹²⁰.

Endosulfan residues have been found in biota and in environmental media at locations far distant from where it has been released – in both the Arctic^{121,122,123} and Antarctic¹²⁴. It has been found in grasses on Mt Everest¹²⁵ and in spruce needles of the Central Himalayan region¹²⁶, in lichen and conifers in the Canadian Rockies, and the western national parks of the USA^{127,128}.

It has also been consistently measured in precipitation: in snow in the Canadian Arctic¹²⁹ and US national parks¹³⁰, as well as in ice in the Italian Alps¹³¹ and Antarctica¹³², and in rain in Asia¹³³, Africa¹³⁴, Europe¹³⁵, North America¹³⁶, and Latin America¹³⁷. Residues of endosulfan in the Caribbean are believed to have resulted from deposition in dust carried from the African Sahara/Sahel region¹³⁸.

Levels of endosulfan have continued to increase in the Arctic, in beluga whales¹³⁹ and in air¹⁴⁰ at the same time as the levels of most POPs have declined. Similar increases have been observed in the freshwater fish char; residues were 2.2 times higher in 2002 than they were in 1992¹⁴¹.

Persistence

Endosulfan is persistent, with a half-life in water of up to six months under anaerobic conditions¹⁴², and a half-life in soil under aerobic conditions of up to six years¹⁴³.

Residual levels in the soil where cotton crops are grown in Australia and India can be higher at the beginning of the season than at the beginning of the previous season, indicating a continual build-up in the soil^{144,145,146}.

Endosulfan's persistence has resulted in it becoming a ubiquitous global contaminant of soil, sediment, fresh and marine waters, aquatic and terrestrial biota including plants, and human food.

Bioaccumulation

Endosulfan bioaccumulates in the environment and in humans. The European Union regards a chemical as being bioaccumulative if it has a bioconcentration factor (BCF) of more than 2,000: the range estimated by the US EPA¹⁴⁷ for endosulfan is 1000-3000, with a BCF of more than 11,000 recorded for one species of fish¹⁴⁸.

Additionally, endosulfan has an even greater potential to bioaccumulate in terrestrial species than in aquatic species, because of its high log KOA (octanol-air partition coefficient), which is more significant to air-breathing animals than the log Kow (octanol-water partition coefficient) used to predict bioaccumulation in aquatic species. Estimated biomagnification factors for herbivorous and carnivorous terrestrial species range from 2.5 to $39.8^{149,150}$.

Countries that have banned endosulfan

Bahrain, Belize, Benin, Burkina Faso, Cambodia, Cap-Vert, Colombia, Cote d'Ivoire, European Union (27 countries), Gambia, Guinea Bissau, Jordan, Kuwait, Malaysia, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Norway, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Singapore, Sri Lanka, St Lucia, Syria, Thad, United Arab Emirates

Countries that have restricted endosulfan

Australia, Bangladesh, Canada, Honduras, Iceland, Indonesia, Iran, Japan, Korea, Madagascar, Panama, Philippines, Russia, Thailand, USA

Countries reassessing endosulfan

Brazil, Canada, New Zealand, Uruguay, USA, Venezuela

Countries manufacturing endosulfan

China, Germany, India, Israel

Monitoring data have shown increasing concentrations of endosulfan in beluga whales¹⁵¹, and in freshwater char¹⁵². There is evidence of bioaccumulation in fish in Argentina, with a biomagnification factor greater than that for DDT and most other POPs¹⁵³. There is evidence of bioconcentration in plants too: in bulrushes with a bioconcentration factor higher than that for DDT¹⁵⁴, in grasses¹⁵⁵, and in conifer needles – where endosulfan levels in two-year old needles were three times higher than those in oneyear old needles¹⁵⁶. There is evidence of bioaccumulation resulting from maternal transfer of endosulfan in elephant seals in Antarctica, where significantly higher relative proportions were found in the pups than in the adults157.

Conclusion

In conclusion, there is a wealth of evidence that endosulfan is highly toxic to all species, is persistent and bioaccumulative. It has caused widespread poisonings and suffering and is a serious environmental contaminant. So it is not surprising that there is a concerted global effort being made to rid the world of this truly nasty pesticide. There are plenty of alternative ways of managing the pests against which it is used – after all, 55 countries have found they can manage without it¹⁵⁸. Will time be called on endosulfan or will vested interests prevail?

Dr Meriel Watts is Coordinator of PAN Aotearoa New Zealand and a scientist with PAN Asia and the Pacific, merielwatts@xtra.co.uk

References

 UNEP/FAO. 2006. Endosulfan. Documentation received from the European Commission to support its notification of final regulatory action on endosulfan. United Nations Environment Programme and Food and Agriculture Organisation. UNEP/FAO/RC/CRC.3/10/Add.1. http://www.pic.int/incs/crc3/j10-add1/English/ K0654655%20CRC3-10-ADD-1%20final.pdf.
 Bouvier G, Blanchard O, Momas I, Seta N. 2006. Pesticide exposure of non-occupationally exposed subjects compared to

some occupational exposure: a French pilot study. Sci Total Environ 366(1):74-91. 3. Campoy C, Jimenz M, Olea-Serrano MF, Moreno-Frias M, Canabate F, Olea N, Bayes R, Molina-Font JA. 2001. Analysis

Canabate F, Olea N, Bayes R, Molina-Font JA. 2001. Analysis of organochlorine pesticides in human milk: preliminary results. Early Hum Devel 65(s2):S183-190. 4. Sanghi R, Pillai MK, Jayalekshmi TR, Nair A. 2003.

Organochlorine and organophosphorus pesticide residues in breast milk from Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India. Hum Exp

Toxicol 22(2):73-6.

 Carreno J, Rivas A, Granada A, Lopez-Espinosa MJ, Mariscal M, Olea N, Olea-Serrano F. 2007. Exposure of young men to organochlorine pesticides in Southern Spain. Environ Res 103:55-61.

 Cerrillo I, Granada A, Lopez-Espinosa MJ, Olmos B, Jimenez M, Cano A, Olea N, Fatima Olea-Serrano M. 2005. Endosulfan and its metabolites in fertile women, placenta, cord blood, and human milk. Environ Res 98(2):233-39.
 Fukata H, Omori M, Osada H, Todaka E, Mori C. 2005. Necessity to measure PCBs and organochlorine pesticide concentrations in human umbilical cords for fetal exposure assessment. Environ Health Perspect 113:297-303.
 Damgaard IN, Skakkebaek NE, Toppari J, Virtanen HE, Shen H, Schramm KW, Petersen JH, Jensen TK, Main KM, Nordic Cryptorchidism Study Group. 2006. Persistent pesticides in human breast milk and cryptorchidism. Environ Health Perspect. 114(7):1133-8

 Torres MJ, Folgoso CC, Reche FC, Velasco AR, Garcia IC, Arcas MM, Olea-Serrano F. 2006. Organochlorine pesticides in serum and adipose tissue of pregnant women in Southern Spain giving birth by cesarean section. Sci Total Environ 372(1):32-8.

10. Shen H, Main KM, Virtanen HE, Damgaard IN, Haavisto A-M, Kaleva M, Boisen KA, Schmidt IM, Chellakooty M, Skakkebaek NE, Toppari J, Schramm K-W. 2007. From mother to child: investigation of prenatal and postnatal exposure to persistent bioaccumulating toxicants using breast milk and placenta biomonitoring. Chemosphere 67:S256-62. 11. Pathak R, Suke SG, Ahmed RS, Tripathi AK, Guleria K, Sharma CS, Makhijani SD, Mishra M, Banerjee. 2008. Endosulfan and other organochlorine pesticide residues in maternal and cord blood in North Indian population. Bull

Environ Contam Toxicol May 17 [Epub ahead of print].12. Op. cit. 413. Kishi M. 2002. Initial Summary of the Main Factors

Contributing to Incidents of Acute Pesticide Poisoning. Report to IFCS Forum Standing Committee Working Group. 14. Roberts DM, Dissanayake W, Sheriff MHR, Eddeston M. 2004. Refractory status epilepticus follow self-poisoning with the organochlorine pesticide endosulfan. J Clin Neurosci 11(7):760-62.

 Wesseling C, Corriols M, Bravo V. 2005. Acute pesticide poisoning and pesticide registration in Central America. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 207(2 Suppl 1):697-705.
 Glin L, Kuiseau J, Thiam A, Vodouhe DS, Dinham B, Ferrigno S. 2006. Living with Poison: Problems of Endosulfan in West Africa Cotton Growing Systems. Pesticide Action

Network UK, London. 17. Gunnell D, Fernando R, Hewagama M, Priyangika WDD, Konradsen F, Eddleston M. 2007. The impact of pesticide regulations on suicide in Sri Lanka. Int J Epidemiol

36(6):1235-42.
18. Ton P, Tovignan S, Davo S. 2000. Endosulfan deaths and poisonings in Benin. Pesticides News 47:12-14.
19. Op. cit. 16

 Saiyed H, Dewan A, Bhatnagar V, Shenoy U, Shenoy R, Rajmohan H, Patel K, Kashyap R, Kulkarni P, Rajan B, Lakkad B. 2003. Effect of endosulfan on male reproductive development. Environ Health Perspect 111(16):1958-62.
 Kumar S. 2002. Pesticide ban imposed after reports of congenital abnormalities. BMJ 325(7360):356.
 Quijano RF. 2002. Endosulfan Poisoning in Kasargod, Kerala, India: Report on a Fact-Finding Mission. Pesticide Action Network Asia and the Pacific, Penang.
 Yadav KPS, Jeevan SS. Undated. Endosulfan Conspiracy. Pollution Monitoring Laboratory, Centre for Science and

Environment, New Delhi. http://

www.cseindia.org/html/endosulfan/endosulfan_index.htm. 24. NIOH. 2003. Final Report of the Investigation of Unusual Illnesses Allegedly Produced by Endosulfan Exposure In Padre Village of Kasargod District (N Kerala). National Institute of Occupational Health, Indian Council for Medical Research, Ahmedabad.

25. Venugopal PN. 2006. Endosulfan victims: Kerala owns up. India Together. Sept 12. http://www.indiatogether.org/ 2006/sep/env-endosulf.htm; Venugopal PN. 2008. Endosulfan victims still suffer in India. Feb 15.

http://southasia.oneworld.net/article/view/157876/1. 26. GFEA-U. 2007. Endosulfan. Draft Dossier prepared in support of a proposal of endosulfan to be considered as a candidate for inclusion in the CLRTAP protocol on persistent organic pollutants. German Federal Environment Agency – Umwelibundesamt, Berlin.

 UNEP/FAO. 2007. Inclusion of the chemical endosulfan in Annex III of the Rotterdam Convention. United Nations Environment Programme and Food and Agriculture Organisation UNEP/FAO/RC/COP.4/9. http://www.pic.int/ COPS/COP4/9)/English/K0763331%20COP-4-9.pdf.
 ATSDR. 2000. Toxicological Profile for Endosulfan. Agency of Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, Atlanta, USA. http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp41.html.
 Daniel CS, Agarwal S, Agarwal SS. 1986. Human red blood cell membrane damage by endosulfan. Toxicol Letts 32(1-2):113-8.

30. Op. cit. 28

31. Choudhary N, Sharma M, Verma P, Joshi SC. 2003. Hepato and nephrotoxicity in rat exposed to endosulfan. J Environ Biol 24(3):305-8.

32. Op. cit. 28

33. Omurtag GZ, Tozan A, Sehirli AO, Sener G. 2008. Melatonin protects against endosulfan-induced oxidative tissue damage in rats. J Pineal Res 42(4):386-93.

34. Jia Z, Misra HP. 2007. Developmental exposure to pesticides zineb and/or endosulfan renders the nigrostriatal dopamine system more susceptible to these environmental chemicals later in life. Neurotoxicology 28(4):727-35. 35. Jia Z, Misra HP. 2007. Reactive oxygen species in in vitro pesticide-induced neuronal cell (SH-SY5Y) cytotoxicity: role of NFkappaB and caspase-3. Free Radic Biol Med 42(2):288-98.

36. Dorval J, Hontela A. 2003. Role of glutathione redox cycle and catalase in defense against oxidative stress induced by endosulfan in adrenocortical cells of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Toxicol Appld Pharmacol 192(2):191-200.

37. Dorval J, Leblond VS, Hontela A. 2003. Oxidative stress and loss of cortisol secretion in adrenocortical cells of rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) exposed in vitro to endosulfan, an organochlorine pesticide. Aquat Toxicol 63(3):299-41.

38. Antherieu S, Ledirac N, Luzy AP, Lenormand P, Caron JC, Rahmani R. 2007. Endosulfan decreases cell growth and apoptosis in human HaCaT keratinocytes: Partial ROSdependent ERK1/2 mechanism. J Cell Physiol 213(1):177-86. 39. Kannan K, Holcombe RF, Jain SK, Alvarez-Hernandez X, Chervenak R, Wolf RE, Glass J. 2000. Evidence for the induction of apoptosis by endosulfan in a human T-cell leukemic line. Mol Cell Biochem 205(1-2):53-66. 40. Op. cit 28

41. Op. cit. 28

 Grunfeld HT, Bonefeld-Jorgensen EC. 2004. Effect of in vitro estrogenic pesticides on human oestrogen receptor alpha and beta mRNA levels. Toxicol Lett 151(3):467-80.
 Soto AM, Chung KL, Sonnenschein C. 1994. The pesticides endosulfan, toxaphene, and dieldrin have estrogenic effects on human estrogen-sensitive cells. Environ Health Perspect 102(4):380-83.

44. Soto AM, Sonnenschein C, Chung KL, Fernandez MF, Olea N, Serrano FO. 1995. The E-SCREEN assay as a tool to identify estrogens: an update on estrogenic environmental pollutants. Environ Health Perspect 103(Suppl 7):113-22. 45. Bonefeld-Jorgensen EC, Grunfeld HT, Gjermandsen IM. 2005. Effect of pesticides on estrogen receptor transactivation in vitro: a comparison of stable transfected MVLN and transient transfected MCF-7 cells. Mol Cell Endocrinol 244(1-2):20-30.

46. Wong PS, Matsumura F. 2006. Serum free BG-1 cell proliferation assay: a sensitive method for determining organochlorine pesticide estrogen receptor activation at the nanomolar range. Toxicol In Vitro 20(3):382-94.
47. Andersen HR, Vinggaard AM, Rasmussen TH, Gjermandsen IM, Bonefeld-Jorgensen EC. 2002. Effects of currently used pesticides in assays for estrogenicity, and rogenicity, and aromatase activity in vitro. Toxicol Appl

Global regulation

Pharmacol 179(1):1-12

48. Kojima H, Katsura E, Takeuchi S, Niiyama K, Kobayashi K. 2004. Screening for estrogen and androgen receptor activities in 200 pesticides by in vitro reporter gene assays using Chinese hamster ovary cells. Environ Health Perspect 112(5):524-31.

49. Chatterjee S, Kumar V, Majumder CB, Roy P. 2008. Screening of some anti-progestin endocrine disruptors using a recombinant yeast-based in vitro bioassay. Toxicol in Vitro 22(3):788-98 50. Op. cit. 44

51. Hunter DS, Hodges LC, Vonier PM, Fuchs-Young R, Gottardis MM, Walker CL. 1999. Estrogen receptor activation via Activation Function 2 predicts agonism of xenoestrogens in normal and neoplastic cells of the uterine myometrium. Cancer Res 59:3090-9.

52. Jin L, Tran DQ, Ide CF, McLachlan JA, Arnold SF. 1997. Several synthetic chemicals inhibit progesterone receptormediated transactivation in yeast. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 233(1):139-46.

53. Op. cit. 49

54. Watts MA. 2007. Pesticides & Breast Cancer: A Wake Up Call. Pesticide Action Network Asia and the Pacific, Penang. 55. Lemaire G, Mnif W, Mauvais P, Balaguer P, Rahmani R. 2006. Activation of alpha- and beta-estrogen receptors by persistent pesticides in reporter cell lines. Life Sci 79(12):1160-9.

56. Foster WG, Agarwal SK. 2002. Environmental contaminants and dietary factors in endometriosis. Ann NY Acad Sci 955(1):213-29.

57. ERMANZ. 2008. Application for Reassessment of a Hazardous Substance under Section 63 of the Hazardous Substances and New Organisms Act 1996. Name of substance: Endosulfan and Formulations containing Endosulfan. Environmental Risk Management Authority of New Zealand, Wellington. http://www.ermanz.govt.nz.

 Reuber MD. 1981. The role of toxicity in the carcinogenicity of endosulfan. Sci Total Environ 20(1):23-47.
 Yadav AS, Vashishat RK, Kakar SN. 1982. Testing of endosulfan and fenitrothion for genotoxicity in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mutat Res 105(6):403-7.

60. Sobti RC, Krishan A, Davies J. 1983. Cytokinetic and cytogenetic effect of agricultural chemicals on human lymphoid cells in vitro II. Organochlorine pesticides. Arch Toxicol 52:221-31.

61. Pandey N, Gundevia F, Prem AS, Ray PK. 1990. Studies on the genotoxicity of endosulfan, an organochlorine insecticide, in mammalian germ cells. Mutat Res 242(1):1-7.

62. Lu Y, Morimoto K, Takeshita T, Takeuchi T, Saito T. 2000. Genotoxic effects of alpha-endosulfan and beta-endosulfan on human HepG2 cells. Environ Health Perspect 108(6):559–561.
63. Op. cit. 28

64. Jamil K, Shaik AP, Mahboob M, Krishna D. 2004. Effect of organophosphorus and organochlorine pesticides (monocrotophos, chlorpyriphos, dimethoate, and endosulfan)

(monocrotopnos, chiorpyriphos, aimeinoate, and enaosuijan) on human lymphocytes in-vitro. Drug Chem Toxicol 27(2):133-44.

65. Lajmanovich RC, Cabagna M, Peltzer PM, Stringhini GA, Attademo AM. 2005. Micronucleus induction in erthrocytes of the Hyla pulchella tadpoles (Amphibia: Hylidae) exposed to insecticide endosulfan. Mut Res 587(1-2):67-72.

66. Bajpayee M, Pandey AK, Zaidi S, Musarrat J, Parmar D, Mathur N, Serth PK, Dhawan A. 2006. DNA damage and mutagenicity induced by endosulfan and its metabolites. Environ Mol Mutagen 47(9):682-92.

67. Neuparth T, Bickham JW, Theodorakis CW, Costa FO, Costa MH. 2006. Endosulfan-induced genotoxicity detected in the Gilthead Seabream, Sparus aurata L., by means of flow cytometry and micronuclei assays. Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 76(2):242-8.

 Pandey S, Nagpure NS, Kumar R, Sharma S, Srivastava SK, Verma MS. 2006. Genotoxicity evaluation of acute doses of endosulfan to freshwater teleost Channa punctatus (Bloch) by alkaline single-cell gel electrophoresis. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 65(1):56-61.
 Op. cit. 38

Solution 2018, Nagpure NS, Kumar R, Pandey S, Srivastava SK, Singh PJ, Maihur PK. 2007a. Studies on the genotoxicity of endosulfan in different tissues of fresh water fish Mystus vittatus using the Comet assay. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 53(4):617-23.

71. Wessel N, Rousseau S, Caisey X, Quiniou F, Akcha F. 2007. Investigating the relationship between embryotoxic and genotoxic effects of benzo[a]pyrene, 17a-ethinylestradiol and endosulfan on Crassostrea gigas embryos. Aquat Toxicol 85:133-42.

72. Akcha F, Arzul G, Rousseau S, Bardouil M. 2008. Comet assay in phytoplankton as biomarker of genotoxic effects of environmental pollution. Mar Environ Res 66(1):59-61. 73. Fransson-Steen R, Flodstrom S, Warngard L. 1992. The insecticide endosulfan and its two stereoisomers promote the growth of altered hepatic foci in rats. Carcinogenesis 13(12):2299-303.

74. Op. cit. 28

 Dubois M, Pfohl-Leszkowicz A, De Waziers I, Kremers P. 1996. Selective induction of the CYP3A family by endosulfan and DNA-adduct formation in different hepatic and hepatoma cells. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 1(1):249-56.
 Warngard I, Bager Y, Kato Y, Kenne K, Ahlborg UG. 1996. Mechanistical studies of the inhibition of intercellular communication by organochlorine compounds. Arch Toxicol

Suppl 18:149-59. 77. Op. cit. 28

78. Op. cit. 38

79. Op. cit. 20

 Hiremath MB, Kaliwal BB. 2002. The anti-implantation action of endosulfan in albino mice: possible mechanisms. J Basic Clin Physiol Pharmacol 13(4):329-40.

81. Op. cit. 28 82. Op. cit. 28

 Singh ND, Sharma AK, Dwivedi P. Patil RD, Kumar M. 2006. Citrinin and endosulfan induced teratogenic effects in Wistar rats. J Appl Toxicol 27(6):589-601.

84. Cabaleiro T, Caride A, Romero A, Lafuente A. 2008. Effects of in utero and lactational exposure to endosulfan in prefrontal cortex of male rats. Toxicol Letts 176:58–67. 85. Op. cit. 28

86. Op. cit. 28

87. Op. cit. 34

88. IPCS. 1984. Environmental Health Criteria 40.

Endosulfan. International Programme on Chemical Safety,

World Health Organisation, Geneva. http://www.inchem.org/documents/ehc/ehc/ehc40.htm. 89. Op. cit. 26

90. Markey KL, Baird AH, Humphrey C, Negri AP. 2007. Insecticides and a fungicide affect multiple coral life stages. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 330:127–37.

91. Dutta H, Arends DA. 2003. Effects of endosulfan on brain acetylcholinesterase activity in juvenile bluegill sunfish. Environ Res 91:157–62.

92. PANNA. 1996. Endosulfan responsible for Alabama fish kill. PANUPS. Pesticide Action Network North America. http://www.panna.org.

93. Schulz R. 2004. Field studies on exposure, effects, and risk mitigation of aquatic nonpoint-source insecticide pollution: a review. J Environ Qual 33(2):419-48.

94. Op. cit. 16

 Sparling DW, Fellers GM, McConnell LL. 2001. Pesticides and amphibian population declines in California USA. Environ Toxicol Chem 20(7):1591-95.

96. Op. cit. 18

97. GEF SSA. 2002. Regionally Based Assessment of Persistent Toxic Substances – Sub-Saharan Africa Regional Report. Global Environment Facility, United Nations Environmental Programme, Geneva. http://www.chem.unep.ch/Pts/.

98. Op. cit. 18

 79. Ton P, Tovignan S, Davo S. 2000. Endosulfan deaths and poisonings in Benin. Pesticides News 47:12-14.
 100. GEF CAC. 2002. Regionally Based Assessment of Persistent Toxic Substances – Central America and the Caribbean Regional Report. Global Environment Facility, United Nations Environmental Programme, Geneva. http://www.chem.unep.ch/Pts/.

101. Op. cit. 97

102. Op. cit. 22 103. Op. cit. 93

103. Op. cit. 35 104. Op. cit. 16

101. Op. Ch. 10, 2006. Endosulfan. Documentation received from the European Commission to support its notification of final regulatory action on endosulfan. United Nations Environment Programme and Food and Agriculture Organisation. UNEP/FAO/RC/CRC.3/10/Add.1. http://www.pic.int/incs/crc3/j10-add1//English/ K0654655%20CRC3-10-ADD-1%20final.pdf.

106. US EPA. 2007. Appendix 1 to Addendum. Environmental Fate and Ecological Risk Assessment of Endosulfan. Office of Prevention, Pesticides, and Toxic Substances. http://www.regulations.gov.

107. Bastos CS, de Almeida RP, Suinaga FA. 2006. Selectivity of pesticides used on cotton (Gossypium hirsutum) to Trichogramma pretiosum reared on two laboratory-reared hosts. Pest Manag Sci 62:91–8.

108. Bostanian NJ, Akalach M. 2004. The contact toxicity of indoxacarb and five other insecticides to Orius insidiosus (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) and Aphidius colemani (Hymenoptera: Braconidae), beneficials used in the greenhouse industry. Pest Manag Sci 60(12):1231-6. 109. Elzen GW. 2001. Lethal and sublethal effects of insecticide residues on Orius insidiorus (Hemiptera: Anthocoridae) and Geocoris punctipes (Hemiptera: Lygaeidae). J Econ Entomol 94(1):55-9.

110. Schneider MI, Pineda P, Smagghe G. 2006. Side effects of conventional and non-conventional insecticides on eggs and larvae of Chrysoperla externa (Hagen) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) in Argentine. Commun Agric Appl Biol Sci 71(2

Pt B):425-7. 111. Benamú MA, Schneider MI, Pineda S, Sanchez NE,

Gonzalez A. 2007. Sublethal effects of two neurotoxican insecticides on Araneus pratensis (Araneae: Araneidae). Commun Agric Appl Biol Sci 72(3):557-9.

112. Alizadeh A, Samih MA, Izadi H. 2007. Compatibility of Verticillium lecani (Zimm.) with several pesticides. Commun Agric Appl Biol Sci 72(4):1011-5.

113. Vig K, Singh DK, Agarwal HC, Dhawan AK, Dureja P. 2008. Soil microorganisms in cotton fields sequentially treated with insecticides. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 69(2):263-76. 114. Joy VC, Chakravorty PP. 1991. Impact of insecticide on nontarget microarthropod fauna in agricultural soil. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf 22(1):8-16).

115. Kennedy IR, Sanchez-Bayo F, Kimber SW, Hugo L, Ahmad N. 2001. Off-site movement of endosulfan from irrigated cotton in New South Wales. J Environ Qual 30:683-96.

116. Sutherland TD, Home I, Weir KM, Russell RJ, Oakeshott JG. 2004. Toxicity and residues of endosulfan isomers. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol 183:99-113.

117. UNEP. 2007. Additional information for endosulfan. UNEP/POPS/POPRC.3/INF/9. Stockholm Convention on Persistent Organic Pollutants Persistent Organic Pollutants Review Committee Third meeting, Geneva, 19–23 November 2007. United Nations Environment Programme.

118. Hung H, Halsall CJ, Blanchard P, Li HH, Fellin P, Stern G, Rosenberg B. 2002. Temporal trends of organochlorine pesticides in the Canadian Arctic atmosphere. Environ Sci Technol 36(5):862-8.

119. Hung H, Blanchard P, Halsall CJ, Bidleman TF, Stern GA, Fellin P, Muir DC, Barrie LA, Jantunen LM, Helm PA, Ma J, Konoplev A. 2005. Temporal and spatial variabilities of atmospheric polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs),

organochlorine (OC) pesticides and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in the Canadian Arctic: results from a decade of monitoring. Sci Total Environ 342(1-3):119-44. 120. Pozo K, Harner T, Wania F, Muir DCG, KC, Barrie LA. 2006. Toward a global network for persistent organic pollutants in air: Results from the GAPS study. Environ Sci Technol 40(16):4867-73.

121. Vorkamp K, Riget F, Glasius M, Pecseli M, Lebeuf M, Muir D. 2004. Chlorobenzenes, chlorinated pesticides, coplanar chlorobiphenyls and other organochlorine compounds in Greenland biota. Sci Total Environ 331(1-3):157-75.

122. Kelly BC, Ikonomou MG, Blair JD, Morin AE, Gobas FAPC. 2007. Food web-specific biomagnification of persistent organic pollutants. Science 317:236-9.

123. Stern GA, Macdonald CR, Armstrong D, Dunn B, Fuchs C, Harwood L, Muir DCG, Rosenburg B. 2005. Spatial trends and factors affecting variation of organochlorine contaminants levels in Canadian Arctic beluga

(Delphinapterus leucas). Sci Total Environ 351-352:344-68. 124. Miranda-Filho KC, Metcalfe TL, Metcalfe CD, Robaldo RB, Muelbert MMC, Colares EP, Martinez PE, Bianchini A. 2007. Residues of persistent organochlorine contaminants in southern elephant seals (Mirounga leonina) from Elephant Island, Antarctica. Environ Sci Technol 41:3829-35.

125. Wang XP, Yao TD, Cong ZY, Yan XL, Kang SC, Zhang Y. 2007. Distribution of persistent organic pollutants in soil and grasses around Mt. Qomolangma, China. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 52(2):1530-62.

126. Wang X-p, Yao T-d, Cong Z-d, Yan X-l, Kang S-c, Zhang Y. 2006. Gradient distribution of persistent organic contaminants along northern slope of central-Himalayas, China. Sci Total Environ 372:193-202.

127. Daly GL, Lei YD, Teixeira C, Muir DCG, Wania F. 2007. Pesticides in western Canadian mountain air and soil. Environ Sci Technol 41:6020-5.

128. Landers DH, Simonich SL, Jaffe DA, Geiser LH, Campbell DH, Schwindt AR, Schreck CB, Kent ML, Hafner WD, Taylor HE, Hageman KJ, Usenko S, Ackerman LK, Schrlau JE, Rose NL, Blett TF, Erway MM. 2008. The Fate, Transport, and Ecological Impacts of Airborne Contaminants in Western National Parks (USA). EPA/600/R-07/138. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development, NHEERL, Western Ecology Division, Corvallis, Oregon.

European news

129. Tuduri L, Harner T, Blanchard P, Li Y-F, Poissant L, Waite DT, Murphy C, Belzer W. 2006. A review of currently used pesticides continued from page 7 (CUPs) in Canadian air and precipitation: Part 1: Lindane and endosulfans, Atmos Environ 40:1563-78.

130. Mast MA, Foreman WT, Skaates SV. 2007. Current-use pesticides and organochlorine compounds in precipitation and lake sediment from two high-elevation national parks in the western United States. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 52:294-305.

131. Herbert BMJ, Halsall CJ, Fitzpatrick L, Villa S, Jones KC, Thomas GO. 2004. Use and validation of novel snow samplers for hydrophobic, semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs). Chemosphere 56:227-35.

132. Deger AB, Gremm TJ, Frimmel FH, Mendez L. 2003. Optimization and application of SPME for the gas chromatographic determination of endosulfan and its major metabolites in the ngL-1 range in aqueous solutions. Anal Bioanal Chem 376:61-8.

133. Kumari B, Madan VK, Kathpal TS. 2007. Pesticide residues in rain water from Hisar, India. Environ Monit Assess 133:467-71. 134. Op. cit. 97

135. Scheyer A, Morville S, Mirabel P, Millet M. 2007. Pesticides analysed in rainwater in Alsace region (Eastern France): Comparison between urban and rural sites. Atmos Environ 41(34):7241-52. 136. Brun GL, MacDonald RM, Verge J, Aube J. 2008. Long-term atmospheric deposition of current-use and banned pesticides in Atlantic Canada; 1980-2000. Chemosphere 71:314-27. 137. Laabs V, Amelung W, Pinto AA, Wantzen M, da Silva CJ, Zech W. 2002. Pesticides in surface water, sediment, and rainfall of the northeastern Pantanal Basin, Brazil. J Environ Qual 31:1636-48. 138. Garrison VH, Foreman WT, Genualdi S, Griffin DW, Kellogg CA, Majewski MS, Mohammed A, Ramsubhag A, Shinn EA, Simonich SL, Smith GW. 2006. Saharan dust - a carrier of persistent

organic pollutants, metals and microbes to the Caribbean? Rev Biol Trop 54(Suppl 3):9-21. 139. Braune BM, Outridge PM, Fisk AT, Muir DCG, Helm PA, Hobbs K, Hoekstra PF, Kuzyk ZA, Kwan M, Letcher RJ, Lockhart WL, Norstrom RJ, Stern GA, Stirling I. 2005. Persistent organic pollutants and mercury in marine biota of the Canadian Arctic: an overview of spatial and temporal trends. Sci Total Environ 351-352: 4-56.

140. NCP. 2003. Canadian Arctic Contaminants Assessment Report II: Highlights. Northern Contaminants Programme, Indian and Northern Affairs Canada, Ottawa.

141. Evans MS, Muir D, Lockhart WL, Stern G, Ryan M, Roach P. 2005. Persistent organic pollutants and metals in the freshwater biota of the Canadian Subarctic and Arctic: an overview. Sci Total Environ 351-352:94-147.

142. Op. cit. 28

143. Op. cit. 26

144. Op. cit. 116

145. Jayashree R, Vasudevan N. 2007b. Persistence and distribution of endosulfan under field conditions. Environ Monit Assess 131(1-3):475-87.

146. Op. cit. 114

147. Op. cit 107

148. Jonsson CM, Toledo MCF. 1993. Bioaccumulation and elimination of endosulfan in the fish yellow tetra (Hypessobrycon bifasciatus). Bull Environ Contam Toxicol 50:572-7.

149. Kelly BC, Gobas FAPC. 2003. An arctic terrestrial food-chain bioaccumulation model for persistent organic pollutants. Environ Sci Total 37(13):2966-74.

150. Op. cit. 123

151. Op. cit. 140 152. Op. cit. 142

153. Menone ML, Aizpun de Moreno JE, Moreno VJ, Lanfranchi AL, Metcalfe TL, Metcalfe CD. 2000. PCBs and organochlorines in tissues of silverside (Odontesthes bonariensis) from a coastal lagoon in Argentina. Arch Environ Contam Toxicol 38:202-8.

154. Miglioranza KS, de Moreno JE, Moreno VJ. 2004b. Organochlorine pesticides sequestered in the aquatic macrophyte Schoenoplectus californicus (C.A. Meyer) Sojak from a shallow lake in Argentina. Water Res 38(7):1765-72.

155. Op. cit. 126

156. Op. cit. 129 157. Op. cit. 125

158. Watts MA. 2008. Endosulfan. Monograph. Pesticide Action Network Asia and the Pacific, Penang. http://www.panap.net.