Metabolic and trophic interactions modulate methane production by Arctic peat microbiota in response to warming
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Arctic permafrost soils store large amounts of soil organic carbon (SOC) that could be released into the atmosphere as methane (CH4) in a future warmer climate. How warming affects the complex microbial network decomposing SOC is not understood. We studied CH4 production of Arctic peat soil microbiota in anoxic microcosms over a temperature gradient from 1 to 30 °C, combining metatranscriptomic, metagenomic, and targeted metabolic profiling. The CH4 production rate at 4 °C was 25% of that at 25 °C and increased rapidly with temperature, driven by fast adaptations of microbial community structure, metabolic network of SOC decomposition, and trophic interactions. Below 7 °C, syntrophic propionate oxidation was the rate-limiting step for CH4 production; above this threshold temperature, polysaccharide hydrolysis became rate limiting. This change was associated with a shift within the functional guild for syntrophic propionate oxidation, with Firmicutes being replaced by Bacteroidetes. Correspondingly, there was a shift from the formate- and H2-using Methanobacteriales to Methanococcales and from the acetotrophic Methanosarcinaceae to Methanosaetaceae. Methanogenesis from methylamines, probably stemming from degradation of bacterial cells, became more important with increasing temperature and corresponded with an increased relative abundance of predatory protists of the phylum Cercozoa. We concluded that Arctic peat microbiota responds rapidly to increased temperatures by modulating metabolic and trophic interactions so that CH4 is always highly produced: The microbial community adapts through taxonomic shifts, and cascade effects of substrate availability cause replacement of functional guilds and functional changes within taxa.

Microorganisms are key players in the turnover of soil organic carbon (SOC) in the large carbon storages of the Arctic permafrost region (1). These soils contribute about 3–10% of the global emissions of the potent greenhouse gas (GHG) CH4 (2). By the end of this century, the surface temperatures in the Arctic are predicted to increase by 2.5 °C in winter and 1–6 °C in summer (3). As a consequence, CH4 and CO2 production from SOC decomposition are expected to increase, possibly causing a positive feedback to climate change (4).

Estimates of current CH4 release from boreal and tundra biomes differ substantially (5–8). Predicting future emissions is even more difficult, because the complexity of the soil microbiota limits the understanding of temperature effects on SOC decomposition (4). Temperature-related CH4 emission will be greatly affected by the active anoxic Arctic soil layer. In these soils, low temperature, phenolic compounds, and recalcitrant organic matter limit decomposition rates (4, 9), and the proximate drivers of organic matter transformations are the microbial communities (10, 11). As temperatures increase, higher GHG emissions from Arctic soils are expected because of direct effects on microbial enzymes, but temperature might have indirect effects on microbial communities, altering the effect on GHG emissions (12, 13).

In anoxic peat, plant polymers are degraded through several hydrolysis and fermentation steps involving at least four functionally distinct types of microorganisms: primary and secondary fermenters and two groups of methanogens (14, 15). A rate-limiting step is polysaccharide hydrolysis (16–18); syntrophic oxidation of organic acids and alcohols, which produce little energy (19), also might be rate limiting, particularly at low temperature (17). High in situ concentrations of fermentation intermediates have been detected in Arctic (20), sub-Arctic (21, 22), boreal (23), and temperate peat (24). Formate, H2/CO2, and acetate are considered the major substrates for methanogenesis in most environments (25).

CH4 emissions can be mitigated by microbial CH4 oxidation, constituting the biological CH4 filter in soils. In continental ecosystems, CH4 oxidation is primarily aerobic and is performed by Proteobacteria (26) and Verrucomicrobia (27). Proteobacterial methanotrophs closely related to the aerobic Methylobacter are characteristic for circumboreal soils (28–30). Stable isotope signature studies indicate that anaerobic CH4 oxidation is a sink for CH4 in peat soils (31), but the oxidants, enzymes, and organisms involved are currently unknown.

Anaerobic degradation of SOC to CH4 and CO2 involves metabolic interactions between microorganisms. Temperature is a fast adaptation of the microbial system resulting in high methane emissions at all temperatures.

Microorganisms are key players in emissions of the greenhouse gas (GHG) methane from anoxic carbon-rich peat soils of the Arctic permafrost region. Although available data and modeling suggest a significant temperature-induced increase of GHG emissions from these regions by the end of this century, the controls of and interactions within the underlying microbial networks are largely unknown. This temperature-gradient study of an Arctic peat soil using integrated omics techniques reveals critical temperatures at which microbial adaptations cause changes in metabolic bottlenecks of anaerobic carbon-degradation pathways. In particular taxonomic shifts within functional guilds at different levels of the carbon degradation cascade enable a fast adaptation of the microbial system resulting in high methane emissions at all temperatures.
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expected to affect both this metabolic network and the trophic network (the microbial foodweb). However, no integrated system-level study has yet addressed these metabolic fluxes or pathways in combination with the activity and identity of the associated microorganisms.

Here we studied the effect of temperature on the Arctic anoxic peat soil microbiota. This ecosystem is characterized by permafrost soil with a high organic content, thawed topsoil during summer, and an active growth season of 60–70 d with soil temperatures mostly below 10 °C. The topsoil temperature fluctuates to a large extent with the air temperature because of sparse vegetation.

We aimed at identifying system-level changes in metabolic and trophic interactions of microorganisms during anaerobic SOC degradation to CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ along a temperature gradient. We used metatranscriptomic, metagenomic, and targeted metabolic profiling to assign specific microorganisms to their respective function in the metabolic network. The insights acquired were investigated further by direct process measurements and specific inhibition assays. This investigation enabled us to identify distinct temperature-sensitive mechanisms that modulate the CH$_4$ emissions from the Arctic peat microbiota in response to warming.

**Results**

When Arctic peat soil was incubated in anoxic microcosms at 1–30 °C for 26–39 d, CH$_4$ concentration increased linearly with time at all temperatures (Fig. 1A; see SI Appendix, Fig. S1 for details of the experimental set-up), indicating that the microbiota adapted rapidly even to drastic temperature shifts. As expected, CH$_4$ production rates increased with temperature (Fig. 1B), exhibiting average temperature coefficient (Q$_{10}$) values of 2.1 along the entire temperature gradient and a high CH$_4$ production rate even at 4 °C (25% of that at 25 °C). A generalized additive model fitted to the Arrhenius function for the temperature dependence of CH$_4$ production indicated nonlinearity (effective degrees of freedom = 2.6) and thus deviated from the expected linearity of a chemical reaction, suggesting that the activation energy changed with temperature. Thus, the Arrhenius law did not adequately describe the temperature dependence of CH$_4$ production. The Ratkowsky model (32), however, explained the temperature dependence of both CH$_4$ ($R^2$ = 0.93) (Fig. 1B) and CO$_2$ ($R^2$ = 0.92) production rates (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). This result suggests that the relationship between temperature and CH$_4$ production was not explained solely by the kinetics of a single enzyme-catalyzed reaction but rather by several reactions and possibly was related to changes in the microbiota along the temperature gradient.

We next assayed the potential activities of hydrolytic enzymes responsible for degradation of the two major SOC components, cellulose and hemicellulose (SI Appendix, Fig. S3), as markers for the initial degradation step of SOC. As with CH$_4$ production, the potential activities were high at 4 °C (i.e., between 50 and >100% of that at 15 °C) and were highest at 25 °C for all enzymes.

We wanted to know whether an increase in biomass with temperature could explain the increased CH$_4$ production. Therefore we extracted nucleic acids as biomass indicators from soil slurries incubated in three temperature ranges that defined the low (3–5 °C), medium (14–16 °C), and high (24–26 °C) temperature windows. However, the amount of RNA and DNA in soil (expressed in micrograms per gram of dry weight soil) at these temperature windows did not differ, showing that the bulk (DNA) and active (RNA) microbial biomass did not increase with temperature (SI Appendix, Fig. S4).

The determination of fermentation intermediates revealed that butyrate and ethanol concentrations were mostly below the detection limits (SI Appendix, Fig. S5), and the H$_2$ partial pressure was low throughout the experiment at all temperatures (0.8–1.3 Pa, n = 472). In contrast, the pools of the major fermentation intermediates propionate and acetate were nonlinearly affected by temperature (Fig. 1 C and D).

Although the concentrations of propionate and acetate were high between 1 and 6 °C (median concentrations of 1.5 mM and 150 μM, respectively), the propionate pool was completely depleted above 6 °C, and acetate concentrations were much lower (median concentrations, 50 μM). This biphasic behavior of propionate and acetate concentrations suggests a strong shift in anaerobic fermentative pathways in the peat microbiota at around 7 °C. Also, at 1–6 °C, propionate and acetate concentrations were inversely related with a strong negative correlation (gradient A: r = −0.92; gradient B: r = −0.97).

To assess possible shifts in microbiota structure and function related to these observations, we generated metatranscriptomes and metagenomes from RNA and DNA coextracted from soils incubated at the three temperature windows (SI Appendix, Table S1).

First we used the small subunit (SSU) rRNA transcripts for broad three-domain community profiling. In the three temperature windows, Bacteria dominated with 88–91% of the SSU rRNA, Archaea comprised 7–9%, and Eukarya comprised 2–3% (Fig. 2 A–C). Correspondence analysis (CA) of SSU rRNA (Fig. 2D) and its gene (SI Appendix, Fig. S6) revealed taxonomic shifts with temperature. The most prominent changes were in the Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes; their relative abundance decreased with increasing temperature. The relative abundance of the bacterial phylum Armatimonadetes and the eukaryotic Cercozoa increased with temperature (Fig. 2). All observed patterns stem from read abundances that were high enough to be above the data noise. A constrained CA showed that temperature alone explained 43.8 and 33.6% of total inertia (variation in the CA space) for rRNA and its gene, respectively. With only two exceptions, the changes in relative abundance of SSU rRNA and its gene correlated for all taxa (SI Appendix, Fig. S7).

CA of gene-expression profiles showed that the relative abundances of transcripts in several functional categories differed among the three temperature windows (SI Appendix, Fig. S8). Temperature explained most of the inertia (38.4%). A temperature-constrained CA showed that transcription related to fermentation,
Transcripts for Fermentative Metabolisms. We studied the fermentation and CH₄-cycling pathways in detail (Fig. 3 and SI Appendix, Fig. S12). Transcripts for the succinate-methylmalonyl-CoA (SMC) pathway for propionate fermentation and for formate, hydrogen, acetate, and ethanol metabolism were relatively highly abundant, but changes related to temperature were small and could not explain the observed biphasic pattern of propionate and acetate concentrations. However, taxonomic annotation of the transcripts revealed a taxon replacement within functional guilds (Fig. 3). Within the Firmicutes, the relative abundance of transcripts for the SMC pathway was much lower at the middle and high temperature windows than at 3–5 °C. The reduced abundance corresponded to a decline in transcripts for acetate metabolism, formate dehydrogenase, formate transporters, and [FeFe] hydrogenase (Fig. 3A), all stemming from the family Peptococcaceae within Clostridiales. The correlating decrease in gene expression in all these pathway steps with temperature indicated declining activity of a Peptococcaceae population that oxidized propionate to acetate, formate, and H₂, as described recently (Fig. 3A and SI Appendix, Fig. S13) (19). Interestingly, transcripts encoding ethanol dehydrogenase from Firmicutes increased with temperature; however, these Firmicutes transcripts were assigned to the family Eubacteriaceae within Clostridiales. Although the abundance of SMC pathway transcripts of Deltaproteobacteria did not change, transcripts of Betaproteobacteria (including transcripts of acetate metabolism and [FeFe] hydrogenases; Fig. 3A) increased with temperature. This finding suggested that the Bacteroidetes replace Clostridiales as dominant propionate oxidizers (to acetate and H₂). The relative abundance of transcripts for formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase (gene fhs), a key enzyme in the acetyl-CoA pathway for homoacetogenesis (33), was consistently low and did not change with temperature for any taxon, indicating that homoacetogenesis is not an important source of acetate at any temperature.

High relative abundances of transcripts for the metabolism of ethanol, propionate, and acetate were assigned to Actinobacteria (Fig. 3A), whereas the relative abundance of transcripts of formate dehydrogenase (gene fdh) and [FeFe] hydrogenase alpha subunit (gene hydA) assigned to this taxon was low. Members of Actinobacteria thus appear to be the most important players in the oxidation of ethanol to propionate and acetate, a pathway proposed previously (Fig. 3A and SI Appendix, Fig. S14) (34). Transcripts related to ethanol, propionate, acetate, and PHB metabolism assigned to Actinobacteria decreased with increasing temperature, indicating that this group became less important. The results suggest that they were partly replaced by other ethanol oxidizers, but it is not clear from the results whether the pathway of ethanol oxidation was the same (Fig. 3 and SI Appendix, Fig. S15). The temperature dependence and relative importance of taxa other than those displayed in Fig. 3 are shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S15.

Transcripts for Syntrophy, Methanogenesis, and CH₄ Oxidation. At low temperature, transcripts associated with methanogenesis from formate and H₂/CO₂ were highly abundant and assigned mostly to Methanobacteriales (Fig. 3C). The steep decrease in these transcripts from low to high temperatures correlated with the decrease in transcripts of propionate oxidizers/formate-producing Peptococcaceae within Firmicutes (Fig. 3A). In fact, relative transcript abundances of formate dehydrogenase (Fdh), the key enzyme of both pathways, were highly correlated (r = 0.88) between Firmicutes and Methanobacteriales, suggesting a syntrophic relationship between these taxa for the oxidation of propionate to acetate and CH₄, with formate as intermediate. In bacterial syntrophs grown in cocultures with different methanogens, the expression of genes for key enzymes in syntrophic production and transfer of H₂ and formate has been shown to depend on the metabolism of the methanogenic partner (35).

Transcripts of Hydrolytic Enzymes. We wanted to know whether any population and/or transcriptional changes were associated with the temperature-dependent increase in potential activities of cellulases and hemicellulases. No significant increases in the relative abundance of the respective mRNAs or genes were found (SI Appendix, Figs. S9 and S10). In addition, no taxon replacements were identified, suggesting that the same taxa, mainly Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, and Actinobacteria, were responsible for these functions throughout the temperature gradient (SI Appendix, Fig. S11). Interestingly, however, the remaining transcripts were assigned to more than 10 additional phyla, and 10–20% of all identified hydrolase transcripts could not be assigned to any taxon beyond the domain level.
The relative abundance of transcripts for acetotrophic methanogenesis within the family Methanosarcinaceae [key enzyme: acetate-CoA ligase (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Ligand Database for Enzyme Nomenclature EC 6.2.1.1)] increased with temperature, consistent with increases in SSU rRNA (r = 0.86) and mcrA (r = 0.96) transcripts assigned to Methanosarcinaceae. In contrast, transcripts of the acetoclastic methanogenesis of Methanosarcinaceae (key enzyme: acetate kinase, E.C. 2.7.2.1) decreased (Fig. 3C), indicating a functional replacement of the latter by Methanosarcinaceae. All transcripts for methanogenesis from methanamines were assigned to Methanosarcinaceae (Fig. 3C). Remarkably, the relative abundance of transcripts for monomethylamine- and dimethylamine-dependent methanogenesis increased three- to fourfold from 4 °C to 24 °C (Fig. 4A), whereas transcripts for trimethylamine-dependent methanogenesis were similarly abundant at all temperatures.

Methanamines are products of the degradation of glycine and possibly other amino acids (from proteins or peptidoglycan), compatible solutes, and lipids. Indeed, transcripts for the glycin-cleaveage system were most abundant, followed by transcripts for sarcosine reductase and betaine reductase, and all increased twofold, on average, from the lowest to the highest temperature window (Fig. 4B). The temperature-dependent increase in the relative abundance of SSU rRNA and SSU rRNA genes for predatory protists of the phylum Cercozoa corresponded to the increase of transcripts for methanogenesis from methanamines, and of sarcosine reductase, betaine reductase, and the glycine-cleaveage system (Fig. 4C).

Transcripts and genes of methanotrophic Methylococcales were relatively abundant (SI Appendix, Fig. S16) and were most similar to genes from *Methylobacter tundripaludum*, e.g., the aerobic pathway of CH₄ oxidation to CO₂, identified by transcripts of particulate CH₄ monooxygenase (pMMO) and methanol and formate dehydrogenases (*SI Appendix, Table S2*). The relative abundance of pMMO transcripts was ~100-fold higher than that of methanol and formate dehydrogenases, which catalyze the downstream steps of CH₄ oxidation.

![Fig. 3](image-url) Changes in the relative abundance of transcripts for key functions (y axes) in fermentation (A and B) and methanogenesis (C) within specific taxa (x axes) with temperature. Red circles indicate increases in the relative abundance, and blue circles indicate decreases in the relative abundance of transcripts with increasing temperature. The size of the circles indicates the sum of relative abundance across all temperatures. The color-coded schemes in each panel show a linear translation of the slope of regression lines to a color gradient. The regression lines were fitted to the relative abundance of transcripts for the functions assigned to taxa across the temperature windows 3–5 °C, 14–16 °C, and 24–26 °C as exemplified with the data for EC 6.2.1.1 assigned to Actinobacteria (A, Insert). Each point within the temperature windows was treated as a replicate of the median temperature. The x axis labels are EC designations (e.g., 2.3.1.8), or gene names (e.g., *fdh*). (A) *fdh*, formate channel; *foc*, formate dehydrogenase; *hysA*, [FeFe] hydrogenase subunit a; 2.3.1.8, phosphate acetyltransferease; 2.7.2.1, acetate kinase; 2.8.3.1, propionate-CoA transferase; 6.2.1.17, propionate-CoA ligase; 6.2.1.13, acetate-CoA ligase (ADP forming); 6.2.1.1, acetate-CoA ligase (AMP forming); 6.4.1.3, propionyl-CoA carboxylase; 2.1.3.1, methylmalonyl-CoA carboxyltransferase; 4.1.141, methylmalonyl-CoA decarboxylase; 5.4.9.9.2, methylmalonyl-CoA mutase; 6.2.1.5, succinate-CoA ligase (ADP forming); 1.3.99.1, succinate dehydrogenase; 4.2.1.2, fumarate hydratase; malate dehydrogenase; 6.4.1.1, pyruvate carboxylase; 2.7.2.1, pyruvate synthase; 1.2.1.3, aldehyde dehydrogenase (NAD⁺); 1.2.1.10, acetaldehyde dehydrogenase (acetylating); 1.1.1.1, alcohol dehydrogenase. (B) *frhA*, formyltetrahydrofolate synthetase; 1.4.4.2, glycine dehydrogenase (aminomethyl transferring); 2.3.1.1, polyhydroxybutyrate polymerase; 2.3.1.9, acetyl-CoA acetyltransferase; 1.1.3.6, acetocetyl-CoA reductase. (C) *mcrA*, methyl-CoA reductase alpha subunit; 6.2.1.1, acetate-CoA ligase (AMP forming); 2.7.2.1, acetate kinase; 2.8.3.1, propionate-CoA transferase; 1.2.99.2, carbon monoxide dehydrogenase; *fdh*, formate dehydrogenase; 1.5.99.11, 5,10-methylenetetrahydromethanopterin reductase; 2.1.1.249, dimethylamine methyltransferase; 2.1.1.248, monomethylamine methyltransferase; 2.1.1.246, methanol methyltransferase.
Metabolic Networks and Mass Balances. We aimed at a high-resolution view of the carbon flow from SOC through fermentation intermediates to CH₄ and CO₂. For this purpose we added an inhibitor of acetotrophic methanogenesis (36, 37), methylfluoride (CH₃F), to one series of the temperature gradient to cause the accumulation of substrates and thereby allow the study of upstream processes (38). CH₃F inhibition resulted in the accumulation of acetate, propionate, ethanol, and very low amounts of butyrate (SI Appendix, Fig. S17), whereas smaller amounts of CH₄ and CO₂ were produced than by noninhibited microcosms (SI Appendix, Fig. S2). This experiment revealed that the minimum fraction of CH₄ originating from H₂ and formate comprised ~35% at all temperatures; thus a maximum of 65% of formed CH₄ could be attributed to methanogenesis from acetate. Throughout the inhibition experiment the median partial pressure of H₂ (1–18°C: 1.6–1.9 Pa; n = 144; and 18–30°C: 3.1–3.6 Pa; n = 96) was higher than in the noninhibited control microcosms.

We then calculated mass balances from the beginning and final concentrations of fermentation products. We combined the mass balances with the ratio between hydrogenotrophic and acetotrophic methanogenesis to construct system-level metabolic maps of carbon flow for low (2–6°C; Fig. 5A) and high (23–27°C; Fig. 5B) temperatures.

The most important fermentation intermediates were ethanol, propionate, and acetate. Metatranscriptomic data (Fig. 3A) were used to constrain the metabolite flux to the pathway reactions ethanol fermentation to propionate and acetate (34) and propionate oxidation to acetate, formate, and H₂ (39). Subsequent thermodynamic calculations showed that ethanol oxidation was exergonic along the entire temperature gradient (SI Appendix, Fig. S18). Propionate oxidation to formate and H₂ also was exergonic except in the temperature range 7–12°C. The alternative propionate oxidation pathway producing acetate and H₂, but no formate, was exergonic along the entire temperature gradient (SI Appendix, Fig. S18). Acetate was the primary substrate for methanogenesis at all temperatures (ca. 65%; see above). Although formate was not detected, the presence of transcripts for formate metabolism suggested that the pathway was active (Fig. 3A). Because CH₃F inhibition resulted in the accumulation of upstream intermediates, e.g., propionate (a substrate for syntrophic oxidation), the production of H₂ and/or formate also could have been affected. Thus, we might have underestimated methanogenesis from formate and H₂.

Interestingly, not all consumption of acetate, H₂, and formate could be explained, as indicated by the unknown sinks in Fig. 5. Furthermore, the mass balances could not fully explain the sources of the accumulated pool of total CO₂ (gaseous + aqueous: ΣCO₂), as indicated by the unknown source in Fig. 5. The ΣCO₂ proportion of total mineralized carbon (CH₄ + ΣCO₂) increased with temperature (average at 1–10°C: 40%; average at 11–20°C: 44%; average at 21–30°C: 45%), indicating a shift in the carbon flow with temperature.

An Integrated System-Level Model for Anaerobic SOC Decomposition in Arctic Peat Soil. This integrative metatranscriptomic, metagenomic, and targeted metabolite profiling allowed the development of a system-level model of the predominant metabolic pathways and the key temperature effects on anaerobic SOC decomposition in the Arctic peat soil investigated (Fig. 6). Three steps in polysaccharide degradation were temperature sensitive: syntrophic propionate oxidation, acetotrophic methanogenesis, and methanogenesis from formate. There were taxon replacements within the functional guilds for these pathways, with different taxa dominating at 3–5°C and at 14–16°C and 24–26°C. The taxon replacements corresponded to shifts from high concentrations of propionate and acetate below 7°C to low concentrations at higher temperatures, again pointing to syntrophic oxidation of propionate as the limiting step for SOC mineralization below 7°C. Transcription related to PHB metabolism decreased substantially with temperature, suggesting a decrease in the utilization of intracellular storage compounds. A large increase in the relative abundance of transcripts for monomethylamine and dimethylamine methanogenesis corresponded to an increase in transcripts for the degradation of known sources of methylamines: glycine (peptidoglycan and proteins), sarcosine (intermediate in glycine metabolism), and glycine betaine (compatible solute). This observation might be explained by the increase in relative abundance of the predatory protist phylum Cercozoa, which indicated an accelerated microbial loop causing an increase in the turnover of bacterial cells, sources of proteins, peptidoglycan, and compatible solutes.

Discussion

Low-Temperature Adaptation. We investigated the temperature response of microorganisms responsible for anaerobic degradation of SOC and CH₄ production in Arctic peat soil. The relatively high CH₄ production rate at 4°C (25% of the rate at 25°C) implies that the Arctic peat microbiota investigated here is well adapted to low temperature. At lower latitudes, the CH₄ production shows a
Temperature-Dependent, Rate-Limiting Steps for CH₄ Production. The step in anaerobic SOC decomposition with the lowest rate defines the rate-limiting step for CH₄ production. In rice soils, polysaccharide hydrolysis is the rate-limiting step for CH₄ formation (16, 18). In our study, the accumulation of propionate and acetate below 7 °C indicated that terminal processes rather than upstream polysaccharide hydrolysis were rate limiting for CH₄ production. Terminal processes also might be rate limiting in situ, considering that 7 °C is within the range of current Arctic summer soil temperatures, and high concentrations of fermentation intermediates have been measured in summer (20). In our study, propionate was syntrophically fermented at low temperature by propionate oxidizers within the Firmicutes in association with formate- and H₂-using methanogens within the Methanobacteriales, thus keeping the formate and H₂ concentrations in the microcosms low. However, the high and variable concentrations of acetate and propionate at low temperature, together with the prevalence of low-affinity acetate-using Methanosaicaeae over high-affinity Methanosaetaeae indicated that acetotrophic methanogenesis and propionate oxidation were not syntrophically associated.

Fig. 5. Mass balances for two temperature windows, 2-6 °C (incubated for 39 d) (A) and 23-27 °C (incubated for 26 d) (B). Boxes show pool sizes at start → pool sizes at end point; masses are expressed in micromoles per gram dry weight. Zeros correspond to the respective detection limits. Hydrogen partial pressures are expressed in pascals. The pools of CH₄ and CO₂ are the masses that accumulated during the incubation period. Negative and positive masses associated with arrows represent masses going out from and masses going into pools during the incubation time, respectively; masses are expressed in micromoles per gram dry weight per incubation time. Green color indicates that pools or mass flows are the same in both temperature windows. Red indicates a higher value, and blue indicates a lower value in that particular pool or flow compared with the other temperature window. ∑CO₂ is the sum of all CO₂ species, gaseous and dissolved. All flows of ∑CO₂ are indicated by dotted arrows. All other mass flows are indicated by solid arrows. Diamonds indicate reactions constrained to the following based on metatranscriptomic analysis: a: propionate fermentation to acetate, formate, and hydrogen [CH₃CH₂COO⁻ + 2H₂O = CH₃CHOH + HCOO⁻ + 2H₂ + H⁺]; b: ethanol fermentation to acetate and propionate [3(CH₃CH₂OH) + 2HCOO⁻ = CH₃CHOH + H⁺ + 2(CH₃CH₂COO⁻) + 2H₂O]; c: acetoclastic methanogenesis [CH₃COO⁻ + H⁺ = CH₄ + CO₂]; d: methanogenesis from hydrogen and carbon dioxide [4H₂ + CO₂ = CH₄ + 2H₂O]; e: methanogenesis from formate [4HCOO⁻ + H⁺ + H₂O = CH₄ + 3HCO₃⁻]. The amount of CH₄ produced from H₂ + CO₂ and the amount CH₄ produced from formate have been set as equal, because the data do not allow the ratio to be determined.

Fig. 6. Conceptual model displaying the decomposition network of SOM in high-Arctic peat soils. SOM input is from two main sources: plants and microbial cells. The selection of network components is based on the combined information presented in the study, including inhibition studies; measurements of gases, organic acids, and ethanol; enzyme assays; metatranscriptomics; and metagenomics. Each colored box represents a biochemical transformation of a substrate (origin of arrow) to a product (end point of arrow). Dashed lines indicate a less prominent pathway (i.e., butyrate oxidation). The dotted line indicates putative links between metabolisms/pools (ethanol oxidation and PHB metabolism; availability of plant cell wall proteins). The color coding is based on the identified temperature responses. Blue: decrease in transcription; red: increase in transcription; green: a switch in the taxa responsible, with consequences for propionate and acetate metabolisms; white: no clear change. Pathways are indicated by letters a–s: a, hydrolysis; b, c, and d, primary fermentations; e, ethanol fermentation to propionate and acetate or to acetate and H₂; f, PHB metabolism; g and h, acetogenesis; i, propionate fermentation to acetate, formate, and H₂ or to acetate and H₂; j, butyrate fermentation to acetate, formate, and H₂ or to acetate and H₂; k, methanogenesis from acetate; l, methanogenesis from H₂ and CO₂; m, methanogenesis from formate; n, proteolysis; o, penta-glycine proteolytic p, glycine cleavage/degradation; q, sarcosine reduction; r, betaine reduction; s, methanogenesis from methylamines.

stronger response to increasing temperature: In temperate peat soils, the rate at 4 °C is <1% of the rate at 25 °C (40); in temperate lake sediments, the rate is 10% of that at 25 °C (41); and in sub-Arctic peat soils, the rate is 17% of that at 27 °C (22). Also methane fluxes in wetland, rice paddy, and aquatic ecosystems located within a broad range of latitudes have a higher temperature sensitivity; the average CH₄ emissions increases by 57-fold from 1 °C to 30 °C (42). The results show that this methaneproducing Arctic ecosystem has a lower temperature sensitivity than temperate/sub-Arctic ecosystems.

The proportion of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis in the Arctic peat soil was high (35%), in contrast to lake sediments (41-43) and rice soil (46, 47), where only a minor proportion or no CH₄ originates from hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis at low temperature. This finding is in accordance with studies of sub-Arctic (22) and boreal peat soil (21), where the proportion of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis is 30 and 80%, respectively. In lake and tundra ecosystems at low temperatures, homoacetogens are important H₂ consumers, and acetate is the major precursor for methanogenesis (17, 44, 45, 48). However, homoacetogens were not important in this Arctic peat soil, as shown by the low relative abundance of fts transcripts and the high and constant proportion of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis at all temperatures.
below 7 °C. However, the two processes were still connected, as shown by the nearly perfect negative correlation between acetate and propionate concentrations. These findings suggest that below 7 °C the relationship is cyclic, with opposite cycles of activity of propionate oxidizers and acetotrophic methanogens, increasing the Gibbs free-energy change of the reactions of both groups. Because of the high propionate and low acetate concentrations in several microcosms below 7 °C, propionate oxidation was exergonic, with a Gibbs free-energy change around the minimum found to sustain life in propionate-oxidizing bacteria (~6 to 12 kJ/mol) (49). Above 7 °C, the propionate pool was depleted and was associated with a shift in the functional guild responsible for propionate oxidation, with Firmicutes replaced by Bacteroidetes and Deltaproteobacteria. Calculations showed that between 7 °C and 12 °C, propionate oxidation to acetate, H₂, and formate was endergonic, whereas the alternative pathway of propionate oxidation to acetate and H₂ was exergonic. This finding suggests that propionate oxidizers might alter their pathways to enable energy conservation depending on the conditions, as discussed previously (19). The metatranscriptomic indications that at higher temperatures Bacteroidetes oxidize propionate to acetate and H₂ but not to formate support this interpretation. Also, the concentration of acetate decreased with increasing temperature, in line with the increased abundance and activity of high-affinity acetotrophic methanogenic Methanomicrobiales. Such an increase in more efficient acetate utilization was associated with more efficient propionate oxidation.

A similar association was seen in a triculture of a high-affinity acetotrophic methanogen, a propionate oxidizer, and a formate-using methanogen (51), where low acetate and formate concentrations increased the efficiency of propionate oxidation over that of dicultures without the acetotrophic methanogen. The same relationship between acetate concentrations and propionate oxidation has been observed in wastewater treatment at low temperatures (52) and in anaerobic degradation in taiga pond sediment (17). The removal of the terminal bottleneck above 7 °C resulted in hydrolysis of polysaccharides becoming the rate-limiting step for CH₄ production.

**Microbial Loop Alters Methanogenesis Pathways.** The most pronounced temperature-related taxonomic shift was a tenfold increase in SSU rRNA of the predatory protist phylum Cercozoa (53). This shift and the overall low abundance of protists indicated a low grazing pressure at in situ temperatures and substantially higher grazing pressure at higher temperatures. The difference in grazing pressures is supported further by increased activity and substrate turnover not resulting in a larger microbial biomass. The increase in Cercozoa correlated with the increased abundance of transcripts for methanogenesis from mono- and dimethylamines. Sources of methylamines include glycine-betaine, sarcosine, and glycine (54, 55). Glycine comprises up to 60% of some proteins (54). Several plant cell-wall structural proteins contain long stretches of glycine (56), and Gram-positive bacterial cell walls contain pentaglycine bridges that make up a substantial fraction of peptidoglycan (57). The increase in the relative abundance of transcripts of proteins involved in glycine betaine, sarcosine, and glycine degradation suggested that these compounds are among the primary sources of methylamines in Arctic soils. Glycine from undigestible cell walls or amino acids could be released by Cercozoa during grazing on bacteria. Indeed, nitrogen (58), sometimes as amino acids and peptides (59), is released during protist grazing on bacteria.

Furthermore, the compatible solute glycine-betaine, used as an intracellular osmo- and thermoprotectant in psychrophiles, could have been released during the shift from low to high temperature. However, the relative abundance of transcripts for proteins involved in glycine-betaine reduction was low. Taken together, this circumstantial evidence illustrates how higher-level trophic interactions of the soil microbial loop can indirectly impact the substrate availability for an important guild of GHG-producing microorganisms.

The storage compound for excess carbon and energy, PHB, can provide organisms with a crucial advantage under fluctuating carbon and/or limiting nutrient availability (60, 61). The high abundance of transcripts for PHB metabolism, mainly assigned to Actinobacteria, suggested that PHB is an important storage compound at low temperature. The decrease with increasing temperature suggested that the advantage of intracellular carbon storage in this taxon is restricted to low temperature. Both methanogenesis from methylamines (25) and fermentation of glycine (54) release ammonium (NH₄⁺). Thus, the higher availability of nitrogen might explain the indicated decrease in PHB metabolism. However, other explanations are possible also.

**Taxonomic and Metabolic Shifts Enable Rapid Thermal Adaptation of Microbiota.** A remarkable effect of temperature change was the taxonomic switches within functional guilds and the functional switches within taxa. A taxonomic switch within the functional guild for syntrophic propionate oxidation occurred with increasing temperature, where Firmicutes were replaced by Bacteroidetes and to some extent by Deltaproteobacteria. Other taxonomic switches occurred with increasing temperature: Within the guild for methanogenesis from H₂ and CO₂, actinobacteria replaced Bacteroidetes and Deltaproteobacteria; within the guild for acetotrophic methanogenesis, Methanosetaeaceae replaced Methanosarcinaceae; Methanosarcinaceae in turn changed their metabolism from acetotrophic methanogenesis to methylorophic methanogenesis, thus exhibiting remarkable metabolic flexibility during thermal adaptation. Such taxon and metabolic shifts correspond well to the temperature dependence of microbial community metabolism in aquatic ecosystems modeled by Hall et al. (62). These authors propose that organisms adapt physiologically to be competitive for substrates within specific temperature ranges, and that this adaptation results in changes in their relative contribution to community metabolism. We suggest that similar mechanisms are triggered in the Arctic peat ecosystem studied, indicating that part of the complexity found in microbial communities is attributable to their flexibility across environmental gradients such as temperature. The switch from acetotrophic to methylorophic methanogenesis of Methanosetaeaceae likely is a direct result of changing substrate availability, possibly from a more pronounced microbial loop, but also might have been triggered by more competitive Methanosetaeaceae having a higher affinity to acetate. All observed effects are in the terminal steps of anaerobic decomposition, i.e., syntrophic oxidation of organic acids and methanogenesis, indicating that the temperature effect, which is primarily thermo-kinetic in upstream metabolism, becomes systemic (via changes in pathways and taxa) in downstream and terminal metabolism. The results agree with those of previous studies showing that thermal adaptation is the switch from cold-adapted taxa to warm-adapted taxa (63). However, in the tightly connected anaerobic SOC degradation network of this Arctic peat soil, additional mechanisms resulting in altered substrate concentrations are as important as thermal adaptation in shaping the community response to temperature increases.

**Missing Sinks and Sources.** Mass balance calculations indicated that there were missing sinks and sources of carbon and reducing equivalents. The putative sink of acetate can be explained by either assimilation (64, 65) or adsorption to solids (66), whereas the unknown redox kinetics of both solid and dissolved humic matter (67) might have been a sink for reducing equivalents (H₂ and formate). Among the putative sources of CO₂ are primary fermentation of hexose or pentose sugars, anaerobic respiration, and/or CH₄ oxidation. Because sulfate, nitrate, and nitrite were not detected, alternative electron acceptors must be considered.
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The CO₂ proportion of mineralized carbon was below 50%, indicating that the carbon source deviated from C₆H₁₂O₆, as is consistent with the indications for methanogenesis from methyllamines originating from the degradation of proteins or fats, both of which would yield a CO₂ proportion of mineralized carbon below 50%. However, the increase in CO₂ proportion with temperature suggested that other processes, such as CH₄ oxidation, might have affected the proportions of terminal products. High numbers of transcripts and SSU rRNA were assigned to CH₄-oxidizing bacteria within the Methylococcales. The assignment of the majority of the transcripts to M. tun-dripaludum (68, 69) indicated that this CH₄-oxidizing bacterium might be important not only in oxic soil layers (20, 70) but also under anoxic conditions. However, the data generated in this study are not suitable to test this hypothesis.

Conclusions
By the end of this century, summer temperatures in the Arctic possibly will increase by 1–6 °C, with substantial spatial and temporal variations. Our results showed that within a short period (30 ± 10 d), reflecting the Arctic active season, the peat microbiota quickly adapted to large temperature shifts. CH₄ production below 10 °C was higher than in lower latitude ecosystems and increased rapidly even with small increases in temperature. Temperature changes were associated with changes in the microbial community and metabolic network and affected pathways of SOC decomposition (including CH₄ production), metabolic bottlenecks, and the microbial loop. The threshold temperature of 7 °C—a temperature that might be reached in many high-latitude anoxic peat systems periodically within the next century—defined the switch between two different system balances. At temperatures below 7 °C, syntrophic oxidation of propionate was the rate-limiting step for CH₄ production, depending on the efficiency of acetotrophic methanogens. Above 7 °C, hydrolysis of polysaccharides was the rate-limiting step. Temperatures higher than 7 °C thus might relieve limitations inherent to terminal mineralization, including syntrophic fermentation and methanogenesis. Particularly striking was the plasticity of the microbial community involved in terminal mineralization, because cascade effects of temperature altered substrate availability in terminal steps.

This work has demonstrated the remarkable ability of anaerobic Arctic peat microbiota to adapt to a wide range of temperatures within a short time, reflecting their response to fluctuating temperatures during the short Arctic summer. The consequence of increased temperatures is a large increase in CH₄ and CO₂ production, which potentially is of crucial importance to the global carbon cycle in a warming world.

Materials and Methods
Study Sites and Sampling. Samples were obtained from active layers at 10–15 cm depth (thawed during summer) of a representative High Arctic peat soil from Svalbard, Knudsenheia (78°56.544 N, 11°49.055 E) in August 2010 at the growing season peak. Average monthly temperatures range from −14 to 6 °C, but the vegetation surface can experience up to 25 °C (71). The site is located ca. 5 km northwest of the research settlement Ny Ålesund. The dominant peat-forming species is the moss Calliergon richardsonii. Heavy grazing by Barnacle Geese (Branta leucopsis) limits growth of grasses (Dupontia pelliforma) and the formation extensive root networks. The peat layers had a pH of 5.3–5.8 when measured after KCl extraction (20), whereas the pH in the sampled peat layer was 7.1 when measured directly in pore water at the time of sampling. The peat is −40 cm deep. The site and soil characteristics have been described in more detail previously (20). Five 20 cm × 30 cm × 20 cm peat blocks from the site (designated “a”–“e”) were cut in close proximity and were treated as biological replicates of the same site and same in situ condition. The upper 4 cm of vegetation was removed before the blocks were placed in plastic boxes and covered with water from the site to prevent oxygen access. The sealed plastic boxes were transported to the Max Planck Institute for Terrestrial Microbiology, Marburg, Germany, over 3 d with cooling (ca. 4 °C, and blocks were processed immediately upon arrival. Samples of peat from each block were diluted 1:1 (vol/vol) with O₂-free autoclaved distilled water and mixed. The experimental setup is shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S1. The resulting slurries of peat blocks a–d and e were placed into sterile 100-mL test bottles and 16-mL tubes, respectively, which were closed with butyl rubber stoppers and capped. A total of 20 bottles, each containing 50 mL of slurry from each of the batches a–d, and 124 tubes, each containing 8 mL of slurry from batch e, were set up. All handling was performed in a glove box under a N₂ atmosphere.

Preincubation. All bottles and tubes of all five slurry batches (a–e) were preincubated for 171 d at 4 °C to allow the system to equilibrate. All incubations were conducted in the dark to prevent photosynthesis. Two replicate bottles from each of slurry batches a–d (eight bottles total) were used to monitor the development of the system (SI Appendix, Fig. S19). CH₄, CO₂, organic acids, and ethanol in these two replicates were measured at regular intervals throughout the preincubation period. The CH₄ concentration increased steadily (SI Appendix, Fig. S19). At four time points during the preincubation, organic acids and ethanol concentrations were measured. Average concentrations of these compounds in the slurries at the beginning and end of the preincubation, respectively, were ethanol: 2.25 and 0.08 mM; propionate: 1.5 and 1.3 mM; acetate: 3.4 and 0.94 mM; and butyrate: 0.09 and 0.25 mM. (See SI Appendix, Fig. S19 for a complete overview of the concentrations measured.) Slurry batches a, b, and d were not used for further experiments.

Temperature Gradient Experiment. The 124 tubes of the fifth slurry batch (e) were used for the temperature-gradient experiment. First, four tubes of batch e were used (destructive sampling) for HPLC analyses before the temperature experiment was started to provide time 0 concentrations and masses of organic acids and ethanol. Four series of 30 tubes (designated series A, B, C, and D; a total of 120 tubes) were flushed with N₂ and incubated at 1–30 °C in increments of 1 °C; i.e., one tube from each series was incubated at each temperature, as follows: 1–10 °C for 39, d1–20 °C for 35 d, and 21–30 °C for 26 d (see SI Appendix, Fig. S1 for the experimental set-up). To the tubes of series C, CH₄F was added at a final mixing ratio of 0.5%, which was sufficient to inhibit acetotrophic methanogenesis. At higher concentrations (>0.5%), CH₄F also inhibits methanogenesis from methylamines and methanol oxidation, and H₂, which is required in the tube in series C, A, B, and C, was set to 1 Pa. The resulting slurries of series C, A, B, and C were used to monitor the temperature gradient experiments (see SI Appendix, Fig. S19). We considered the temperature and pressure of the calibration gas in the calculations of the mass of a species in the injected sample. Columns were calibrated with a defined standard (detection limit: 10 ppm range or 0.3 Pa–600 Pa). The resulting slurries of series C, A, B, and C were used to monitor the temperature gradient experiments. CH₄, CO₂, organic acids, and ethanol concentrations were measured. Average concentrations of these compounds in the slurries at the beginning and end of the preincubation, respectively, were ethanol: 2.25 and 0.08 mM; propionate: 1.5 and 1.3 mM; acetate: 3.4 and 0.94 mM; and butyrate: 0.09 and 0.25 mM. (See SI Appendix, Fig. S19 for a complete overview of the concentrations measured.) Slurry batches a, b, and d were not used for further experiments.

GC, HPLC, and Ion Chromatography Measurements. Series A, B, and C of slurry batch e were sampled for simultaneous analysis of CH₄, CO₂, and H₂ with a gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector with an RT-Q-Bond capillary column, a methanizer for CO₂ measurements, a helium ionization detector with a capillary molecular sieve steel column (SRI-9300; SRI Instruments), and a thermal conductivity detector (SRI-9300; SRI Instruments), with N₂ as the carrier gas. We used pressure lock syringes (Vici; Precision Sampling, Inc.), which ensured the transfer of all molecules to the column. We sampled 250 μL per analysis, adding up to a maximum of 2 mL. We considered the temperature and pressure of the calibration gas in the calculations of the mass of a species in the injected sample. Columns were calibrated with certified standards (Messer-Griesheim). Pore-water samples were filtered through 0.2-mm membrane filters (Schleicher and Schuell) and stored at −20 °C until analyzed. Organic acids (lactate, formate, acetate, propionate, and butyrate) and ethanol were measured by HPLC as described previously (21). All detected peaks corresponded to known substances for which we had included standards for quantification. Ion chromatography (IC) analysis was carried out as described (73); all IC measurements were below the detection limit (5 μM).

Calculations. Moles of gas were calculated using the ideal gas law and by comparison with standards of known concentration under defined pressure, temperature, and volume conditions, and volumes of gas in the headspace mixture were calculated by comparison with a defined standard (detection limit: 10 ppm range or 0.3–1 Pa; for further calculations, H₂ measurements below the detection limit were set to 1 Pa).
CH₄ and CO₂ measurements were corrected for the mass removed during sampling for gas measurements; the removed mass was calculated and added to the measured values. Dissolved CO₂ was calculated from the temperature dependence constant and Henry’s law solubility constant, yielding total CH₄ (74). Dissolved CO₂ at different temperatures was calculated from the thermodynamic solubility and dissociation constants at the measured pH, yielding the mass of dissolved CO₂ species and the corresponding ∑CO₂ (75). Final values were normalized to the soil dry weight. We calculated the CH₄ and CO₂ production rates from the difference between the concentration at the start and the amount accumulated at the end. CH₄ production rate Q₈ values were calculated for all 10 °C increments (1–10 °C for series A and 20–30 °C, for series B), and the average of these values was presented. Fatty acid and ethanol concentrations were determined by comparing 1-ml samples and 1-ml standards run in parallel. The mass of each compound (expressed in moles) was calculated from the slope of the volume normalized to dry weights. We calculated the mass balances from the difference between start and end concentrations of fermentation intermediates and used the balances to estimate mass flow during incubation. The reactions were determined from the metatranscriptomic data, based on known pathways from model organisms. Potential CH₄ production from hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis was estimated by assuming the CH₄ produced in tubes with CH₄ and CO₂ originating only from H₂ and CO₂ and not from formate. When the amount of CH₄ accumulated in tubes with CH₄ is subtracted from the amount of CH₄ accumulated in tubes without CH₄, the values obtained are the minimum possible values for hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis and the maximum possible values for combined acetotrophic and methylotrophic methanogenesis. The putative sinks for acetate, hydrogen, and formate along the temperature gradient were determined as the difference between masses at the start and end of the experiment. The unknown source of CO₂ was calculated as the discrepancy between calculated flow of CO₂ and ∑CO₂ at the end point. We assumed a 1–1 relationship between substrates and products for all reaction steps leading to acetotrophic methanogenesis (see the legend of Fig. 5 for reaction balances) and that the amount of CH₄ produced under CH₄ inhibition represents the CH₄ production from hydrogen and formate. The standard Gibbs free energies (∆G°r) of the reactions were calculated from the standard Gibbs free energies of formation (∆G°f) of the reactants and products (76). The actual Gibbs free energies (∆G°’), under experimental conditions were calculated, replacing ∆G°r by ∆G°’ that was corrected for the actual temperatures (39). Calculations were performed using the measured concentrations and partial pressures (H₂) of all involved compounds except dissolved CO₂ and bicarbonate, for which the calculated dissolved fractions were used. All activity coefficients (γ) were assumed to be equal to 1 because no ions were detected (<5 µM).

Nucleic Acid Extraction, Linear Amplification, and Illumina Sequencing. The frozen samples from series D of batch e previously incubated at 3, 4, 5, 14, 15, 16, 24, 25, and 26 °C were homogenized separately with mortar and pestle in liquid nitrogen. Co-extraction of RNA and DNA from peat soil was performed as described (20). Briefly, a cetrimonium bromide-containing lysing buffer and phenol:chloroform:isoamylalcohol (25:24:1) were added to all tubes total. Aliquots (3 mL) of the preincubated soil slurry were mixed with 0.7 mL sodium-phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0) and 2.3 mL MUF-labeled substrate (6 mM final concentration). The data generated for the 6-mM concentration at 25 °C above were compared with new assays at 4 °C and 15 °C (three tubes × one concentration × four substrates × two temperatures = 24 tubes total). Aliquots (3 mL) of the preincubated soil slurry were mixed with 0.7 mM sodium-phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0) and 2.3 mL MUF-labeled substrate (6 mM final concentration). With MUFCel as substrate, 0.3 mL gluconolactone (500 mM in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer; pH 7.0) and 4.7 mM of all four substrates at 25 °C (three tubes × four concentrations × four substrates at one temperature = 48 tubes total). To compare the potential enzyme activities at 4, 15, and 25 °C, experiments were initiated with two replicates and one negative control for each temperature and each substrate at saturated concentration (6 mM). The data generated for the 6-mM concentration at 25 °C above were compared with new assays at 4 °C and 15 °C (three tubes × one concentration × four substrates × two temperatures = 24 tubes total). Hydrolysis reactions were carried out at the preincubation temperature and were stopped after 15 min (MUFGlU, MUFXyl, MUFAra) or 90 min (MUFCel) by adding 1.8 mL of the slurry to 5 mL ethanol (96% vol/vol). Negative controls were terminated immediately after the addition of substrate. After high-mixed, sample centrifugation (15,000 g, 4 °C, and 4 mL of the supernatant was mixed with 1 mL of NaOH-glucose buffer (1 M, pH 11.0). Fluorescence was measured in a fluorescence microplate reader (excitation: 365 nm, emission: 450 nm; gain: 81) (Tecan Safire; Tecan Group).

Sequence Analyses. Bacteria were detected with the SequenceApple II-Bacteria Kit (Ambion Life Technologies) following the kit protocol, except that the linear amplification step was carried out for 14 h. Paired-end 101-bp reads were sequenced using the Illumina HighSeq2000 sequencer (Illumina) at the Norwegian Sequencing Centre (University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway).

Enzyme Assays. After preincubation and at the time when the temperature-gradient experiment began, three 50-mL bottles of each substrate were incubated at temperatures of 4, 15, and 25 °C (for a total of nine bottles). After the last samples of the temperature-gradient experiment were processed, i.e., after 39 d of incubation, the bottles were incubated for another 36 d before enzyme assays were initiated using the samples incubated at 4, 15, and 25 °C, as mentioned here. The contents of the bottles then were distributed in 3-mL aliquots into 16-mL tubes, each aliquot corresponding to one reaction (see below). The potential activity of cellulolytic enzymes was determined according to the method of Almquist and Capen (77) modified for soil (18), using fluorogenic 4-methylumbelliferyl (MUF)-labeled substrates. The substrates for exo-β-1,4-glucanase, β-1,4-glucosidase, β-1,4-xylanase, and β-arabinofuranosidase were 4-MUF-β-D-cellobioside (MUFCel), 4-MUF-β-D-gluco pyranosidase (MUFGlU), 4-MUF-β-D-xylopyranosidase (MUFXyl), and 4-MUF-β-D-arabinofuranosidase (MUFAra) (Sigma-Aldrich, respectively). To determine saturating concentrations, an experiment was carried out with two replicates and one negative control (see below) with 2, 4, 6, and 7 mM of all four substrates at 25 °C (three tubes × four concentrations × four substrates × one temperature = 48 tubes total). To compare the potential enzyme activities at 4, 15, and 25 °C, experiments were initiated using the samples incubated at 4, 15, and 25 °C, as mentioned above.
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